## Appendix D

## Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation

TIMSS 2011
4 th
Mathematics Grade

| Country | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armenia | 8 (0.6) | 40 (0.8) |
| Australia | 3 (0.4) | 54 (0.6) |
| Austria | 1 (0.2) | 52 (0.7) |
| Azerbaijan | 7 (0.6) | 44 (1.2) |
| Bahrain | 9 (0.6) | 37 (0.6) |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 0 (0.1) | 62 (0.5) |
| Chile | 6 (0.4) | 41 (0.5) |
| Chinese Taipei | 0 (0.1) | 71 (0.4) |
| Croatia | 3 (0.3) | 48 (0.4) |
| Czech Republic | 2 (0.3) | 52 (0.6) |
| Denmark | 1 (0.3) | 58 (0.6) |
| England | 2 (0.3) | 60 (0.8) |
| Finland | 1 (0.2) | 60 (0.6) |
| Georgia | 9 (0.6) | 40 (0.6) |
| Germany | 1 (0.2) | 57 (0.6) |
| Hong Kong SAR | 0 (0.2) | 74 (0.8) |
| Hungary | 4 (0.4) | 55 (0.7) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 11 (0.6) | 37 (0.7) |
| Ireland | 2 (0.3) | 56 (0.6) |
| Italy | 2 (0.3) | 52 (0.7) |
| Japan | 0 (0.1) | 70 (0.4) |
| Kazakhstan | 3 (0.4) | 52 (1.1) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 0 (0.1) | 74 (0.4) |
| * Kuwait | 28 (0.9) | 23 (0.4) |
| Lithuania | 1 (0.2) | 58 (0.6) |
| Malta | 4 (0.3) | 49 (0.3) |
| * Morocco | 27 (0.8) | 24 (0.6) |
| Netherlands | 0 (0.2) | 59 (0.4) |
| New Zealand | 5 (0.4) | 46 (0.5) |
| Northern Ireland | 2 (0.3) | 65 (0.6) |
| Norway | 3 (0.5) | 48 (0.7) |
| $\psi$ Oman | 19 (0.7) | 30 (0.4) |
| Poland | 4 (0.4) | 45 (0.5) |
| Portugal | 1 (0.3) | 59 (0.8) |
| Qatar | 15 (0.7) | 34 (0.6) |
| Romania | 8 (1.1) | 47 (1.2) |
| Russian Federation | 1 (0.1) | 61 (0.9) |
| Saudi Arabia | 14 (1.0) | 33 (0.9) |
| Serbia | 4 (0.4) | 54 (0.7) |
| Singapore | 1 (0.1) | 74 (0.7) |
| Slovak Republic | 3 (0.5) | 52 (0.8) |
| Slovenia | 2 (0.3) | 53 (0.5) |
| Spain | 3 (0.4) | 45 (0.6) |
| Sweden | 2 (0.3) | 50 (0.5) |
| Thailand | 6 (0.9) | 41 (1.0) |
| $\psi$ Tunisia | 25 (1.2) | 25 (0.5) |
| Turkey | 6 (0.6) | 45 (0.8) |
| United Arab Emirates | 11 (0.4) | 37 (0.4) |
| United States | 1 (0.1) | 60 (0.5) |
| * Yemen | 48 (1.6) | 16 (0.4) |

* Students were considered to have achievement too low for estimation if their performance on the assessment was no better than could be achieved by simply guessing on the multiple choice assessment items. However, such students were assigned scale scores (plausible values) by the achievement scaling procedure, despite concerns about their reliability.
* Average achievement not reliably measured because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation exceeds $25 \%$.
$\psi$ Reservations about reliability of average achievement because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation does not exceed $25 \%$ but exceeds $15 \%$.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.

| Appendix D.1: Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average Percent Correct |
| Sixth Grade Participants |  |  |
| Botswana | 11 (0.6) | 35 (0.7) |
| \% Honduras | 17 (1.5) | 29 (0.9) |
| * Yemen | 26 (1.5) | 24 (0.6) |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 2 (0.3) | 51 (0.6) |
| Ontario, Canada | $2(0.3)$ | 54 (0.8) |
| Quebec, Canada | 0 (0.1) | 58 (0.6) |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | 14 (1.0) | 34 (0.8) |
| Dubai, UAE | 8 (0.3) | 44 (0.4) |
| Florida, US | 1 (0.2) | 61 (0.7) |
| North Carolina, US | 1 (0.2) | 63 (1.0) |

TIMSS 2011

| Country | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armenia | 11 (0.7) | 38 (0.6) |
| Australia | 4 (0.4) | 48 (1.3) |
| $\psi$ Bahrain | 19 (0.6) | 29 (0.3) |
| Chile | 15 (0.7) | 29 (0.4) |
| Chinese Taipei | 2 (0.2) | 72 (0.6) |
| England | 4 (0.7) | 48 (1.4) |
| Finland | 2 (0.3) | 49 (0.7) |
| Georgia | 15 (0.8) | 33 (0.6) |
| * Ghana | 33 (1.2) | 19 (0.4) |
| Hong Kong SAR | 2 (0.4) | 68 (0.9) |
| Hungary | 5 (0.6) | 49 (0.8) |
| $\psi$ Indonesia | 21 (1.2) | 24 (0.6) |
| $\psi$ Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 16 (0.8) | 30 (0.8) |
| Israel | 6 (0.5) | 51 (1.0) |
| Italy | 4 (0.5) | 46 (0.6) |
| Japan | 1 (0.2) | 64 (0.6) |
| $\psi$ Jordan | 18 (0.9) | 29 (0.5) |
| Kazakhstan | 7 (0.7) | 43 (1.0) |
| Korea, Rep. of | 1 (0.1) | 74 (0.5) |
| Lebanon | 8 (0.7) | $34(0.8)$ |
| Lithuania | 5 (0.4) | 47 (0.6) |
| \% Macedonia, Rep. of | 19 (1.1) | 32 (0.9) |
| Malaysia | 12 (1.1) | 34 (1.0) |
| * Morocco | 27 (0.7) | 22 (0.2) |
| New Zealand | 6 (0.6) | 44 (1.4) |
| Norway | 5 (0.5) | 39 (0.6) |
| $\psi$ Oman | 25 (0.7) | 24 (0.3) |
| \% Palestinian Nat'I Auth. | 17 (0.7) | 29 (0.6) |
| \% Qatar | 19 (0.6) | 30 (0.5) |
| Romania | 12 (0.7) | 38 (0.8) |
| Russian Federation | 2 (0.3) | 56 (0.9) |
| \% Saudi Arabia | 19 (1.0) | 26 (0.7) |
| Singapore | 1 (0.1) | 73 (0.9) |
| Slovenia | 3 (0.3) | 47 (0.5) |
| Sweden | 5 (0.4) | 41 (0.5) |
| $\psi$ Syrian Arab Republic | 23 (1.1) | 25 (0.6) |
| Thailand | 13 (0.8) | 31 (0.9) |
| Tunisia | 14 (0.7) | 29 (0.6) |
| Turkey | 12 (0.6) | 38 (0.8) |
| Ukraine | 7 (0.6) | 42 (0.9) |
| United Arab Emirates | 9 (0.3) | 37 (0.5) |
| United States | 3 (0.3) | 48 (0.7) |
| Students were considered to have achievement too low for estimation if their performance on the assessment was no better than could be achieved by simply guessing on the multiple choice assessment items. However, such students were assigned scale scores (plausible values) by the achievement scaling procedure, despite concerns about their reliability. |  |  |
| * Average achievement not reliably measured because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation exceeds $25 \%$. |  |  |
| Reservations about reliability of average achievement because the percentage of students with achievement too low for estimation does not exceed $25 \%$ but exceeds $15 \%$. |  |  |
| () Standard errors appear in pa inconsistent. | ses. Because of rounding s | results may appear |


| Appendix D.2: Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Percentage of Students with Achievement Too Low for Estimation | Average Percent Correct |
| Ninth Grade Participants |  |  |
| ${ }^{\psi}$ Botswana | 19 (0.6) | 25 (0.4) |
| * Honduras | 39 (1.3) | 17 (0.5) |
| * South Africa | 32 (0.9) | 20 (0.4) |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 3 (0.4) | 47 (0.7) |
| Ontario, Canada | 3 (0.4) | 49 (0.6) |
| Quebec, Canada | 1 (0.2) | 54 (0.7) |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | 10 (0.7) | 35 (0.8) |
| Dubai, UAE | 8 (0.5) | 42 (0.5) |
| Alabama, US | 8 (1.0) | 38 (1.4) |
| California, US | $4(0.6)$ | 45 (1.2) |
| Colorado, US | 2 (0.4) | 51 (1.2) |
| Connecticut, US | 4 (0.6) | 51 (1.3) |
| Florida, US | 3 (0.7) | 49 (1.7) |
| Indiana, US | 2 (0.5) | 51 (1.4) |
| Massachusetts, US | 1 (0.3) | 62 (1.5) |
| Minnesota, US | 1 (0.3) | 58 (1.3) |
| North Carolina, US | 2 (0.4) | 55 (1.8) |

