## Appendix A

## Supporting Documentation

## TIMSS 2007 Science Framework

The content and cognitive domains were the foundation of the TIMSS 2007 fourth and eighth grade science assessments. Exhibit A.1, shows the content and cognitive domains together with the target percentages designated in the TIMSS 2007 assessment framework for science. The content domains differed for the fourth and eighth grades, reflecting the nature and difficulty of the science widely taught at each grade. ${ }^{1}$ There was more emphasis on life science at the fourth grade than at the eighth grade, where it was labeled biology. There was less emphasis on physical science at fourth grade, where it was assessed as a single domain, than at eighth grade, where chemistry and physics were assessed as separate domains. Earth science was given about the same amount of emphasis at both grades. The cognitive domains were the same for both grades, encompassing a range of cognitive processes involved in working scientifically and solving problems through the primary and middle school years.

[^0]| Fourth-Grade Content Domains | Percentages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life Science | 45\% |  |
| Physical Science | 35\% |  |
| Earth Science | 20\% |  |
| Eighth-Grade Content Domains | Percentages |  |
| Biology | 35\% |  |
| Chemistry | 20\% |  |
| Physics | 25\% |  |
| Earth Science | 20\% |  |
| Cognitive Domains | Percentages |  |
|  | Fourth Grade | Eighth Grade |
| Knowing | 40\% | 30\% |
| Applying | 35\% | 35\% |
| Reasoning | 25\% | 35\% |

## Number of Items by Science Content and Cognitive Domains

Exhibit A. 2 shows the distribution of the TIMSS 2007 items by content and cognitive domain for fourth and eighth grades. The fourth grade assessment had 74 life science items, 64 physical science items, and 36 earth science items, for a total of 174 items. Each item also was categorized according to its cognitive domain, with 77 items in the knowing domain, 63 in the applying domain, and 34 in the reasoning domain. It can be seen that the percentages of score points for the content and cognitive domains were nearly identical to those designated in the science assessment framework. A little more than half the items (93) were in multiple-choice format and the rest (81) were constructed-response items. The constructed-response items required students to generate and write their own answers. Some items required short answers while others demanded a more elaborate response. In scoring the assessment, correct answers to most questions (including all those in multiple-choice format) were worth one point. However, responses to questions seeking more elaborate responses were evaluated for partial credit, with a fully-correct answer being awarded two points. Thus, the total number of score points available for analyses (194) somewhat exceeds the number of items in the assessment. Fifty-two percent of the score points came from constructed-response items.

In the eighth grade assessment, there were 76 biology items, 42 chemistry items, 55 physics items, and 41 earth science items, for a total of 214 . Of these, 84 were classified as measuring knowing, 86 as measuring applying, and 44 as measuring reasoning skills. Half the items were multiple choice and half constructed response. Fifty-five percent of the score points on the eighth grade assessment came from constructed response items.

## Exhibit A. 2 Distribution of Science Items by Content Domain and Cognitive Domain

TIMSS2007 $4^{\text {th }}$ Science 4 Grade

| Content Domain | Number of Multiple-choice Items | Number of Constructedresponse Items | Total Number of Items | Total Number of Score Points ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of Score Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life Science | 42 | 32 | 74 | 85 | 44 |
| Physical Science | 35 | 29 | 64 | 67 | 34 |
| Earth Science | 16 | 20 | 36 | 42 | 22 |
| Total | 93 | 81 | 174 | 194 | 100 |
| Cognitive Domain | Number of Multiple-choice Items | Number of Constructedresponse Items | Total Number of Items | Total Number of Score Points ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of Score Points |
| Knowing | 49 | 28 | 77 | 89 | 46 |
| Applying | 31 | 32 | 63 | 68 | 35 |
| Reasoning | 13 | 21 | 34 | 37 | 19 |
| Total | 93 | 81 | 174 | 194 | 100 |

[^1] the number of items in the test.

| Exhibit A. 2 Distri and | Distribution of Science Items by Content Domain and Cognitive Domain (Continued) |  |  |  | TIMSS2007 Science |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content Domain | Number of Multiple-choice Items | Number of Constructedresponse Items | Total Number of Items | Total Number of Score Points ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of Score Points |  |
| Biology | 36 | 40 | 76 | 89 | 37 | 皆 |
| Chemistry | 21 | 21 | 42 | 46 | 19 | \% |
| Physics | 31 | 24 | 55 | 59 | 25 | crem |
| Earth Science | 19 | 22 | 41 | 46 | 19 |  |
| Total | 107 | 107 | 214 | 240 | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔐 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
| Cognitive Domain | Number of Multiple-choice Items | Number of Constructedresponse Items | Total Number of Items | Total Number of Score Points ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of Score Points |  |
| Knowing | 65 | 19 | 84 | 89 | 37 |  |
| Applying | 30 | 56 | 86 | 97 | 40 |  |
| Reasoning | 12 | 32 | 44 | 54 | 23 |  |
| Total | 107 | 107 | 214 | 240 | 100 |  |

## Grades and Ages Assessed

At fourth grade, the TIMSS 2007 target population consisted of all students enrolled in the fourth year of formal schooling, counting from the first year of primary school as defined by UNESCO's International Standard Classification for Education (ISCED). ${ }^{2}$ According to the ISCED classification, Level 1 corresponds to primary education or the first stage of basic education, and the first year of Level 1 should mark the beginning of formal instruction in reading, writing, and mathematics. Accordingly, the fourth year of Level 1 should be fourth grade in most countries. To avoid testing very young children, however, TIMSS has a policy that the average age of children in the grade tested should not be below 9.5 years old. At eighth grade, the TIMSS 2007 target population was all students enrolled in the eighth year of formal schooling, again counting from the first year of primary school. This should be the eighth grade in most countries. However, the average age of students should not be below 13.5 years old.

Exhibit A. 3 presents, for each of the TIMSS 2007 participants, the name of the grade tested in TIMSS, the number of years of formal schooling, and the average age of the students when TIMSS was conducted. Although almost all students assessed by TIMSS were in the fourth grade and had four years of formal schooling or were in the eighth grade and had eight years of formal schooling (the exceptions were England, Malta, New Zealand, and Scotland where children at these grade levels would have been too young), there was some variation across participants in students' average age. Because the distribution of ages within a grade level is determined by the policy on age of entry to primary school and how this is implemented in practice, and by promotion and retention practices through the grades, the exhibit also provides a summary of each participant's policy on age of entry, the usual age of entry in practice, and an indication of whether or not participants have a policy on promotion and retention.

Although most TIMSS participants require children to begin primary school when they are 6 or 7 years old, there are many variations on how this policy is implemented that have an impact on the age of the assessed population. For example, participants that require children to begin school in the calendar year in which they turn six generally had the youngest student populations in TIMSS-about 9.8 years old in fourth grade and 13.8 in eighth grade. Australia, Italy, Norway, Qatar, and Slovenia, as well as the Canadian provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, and Ontario follow this model. Requiring students to be six years old by September of the year in which they start school results in a population older by about four months on average, and an average of about 10.2 or 14.2 years, at fourth and eighth grades, respectively, at the time of the TIMSS testing. Examples of TIMSS participants following this approach include Austria, Chinese Taipei, the Czech Republic, the Slovak Republic, and the state of Minnesota and province of Quebec. Where students begin school in the calendar year in which they turn seven, which is the practice in several northern and eastern European countries such as Bulgaria, Denmark, Latvia, Lithuania, and Sweden, the TIMSS student population is older still-10.8 to 11.0 years old, on average.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Exhibit A. } 3 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Information About the Grades and Ages of Students Tested } \\ \text { in TIMSS } 2007\end{array}\end{array}$
TIMSS2007 4t ${ }^{\text {th }} 8^{\text {tin }}$ Science Grades

| Country | Grades 4 and 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Policy on Age of Entry to Primary School* | Practice on Age of Entry to Primary School | Policy on Promotion/ Retention |
| Algeria | Children must be 6 years old by December 31st of the academic year in which they enroll | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Armenia | Children must be 6 years old by the end of June to begin in September | 7 | - |
| Australia | Age of entry requirement varies among the states and territories; generally children must start in the year in which they turn 6 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Austria | Children must be 6 years old by September 1st, or upon special request, by March 1st the following year | 6 | - |
| Bahrain | Children must be 6 years old by the end of December | 6 | - |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | Children must be 6 years old by December 31st | 6 | - |
| Botswana | Children must be 6 years old by June, although in rural or remote areas the entry age is flexible | 6 | - |
| Bulgaria | Children must be 7 years old in the calendar year, or 6 years old with parent/guardian permission | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Chinese Taipei | Children must be 6 years old by September 1st | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Colombia | Children must be 6 years old | 6 | - |
| Cyprus | Children must be 5 years, 8 months old by September 1st | 5 years, 8 months | - |
| Czech Republic | Children must be 6 years old by September 1st | 6 | - |
| Denmark | Children must be 7 years old in the calendar year to begin August 1st | 7 | - |
| Egypt | Children must be 6 years old by 0ctober 1st | 6 | - |
| El Salvador | Children must be 7 years old by May of the academic year | 7 | - |
| England | Children must begin school at the start of the term following their 5th birthday | 5 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Georgia | Children must be 6 years old by the end of December | 6 | $\bullet$ |
| Germany | Children must be 6 years old by June 30th, or upon special request, by December 31st of that year | 6 | - |
| Ghana | Children must be 6 years old in the calendar year to begin in September | 6 | - |
| Hong Kong SAR | Children must be 5 years, 8 months old in September | 6 | - |
| Hungary | Children must be 6 years old by May 31st or upon special request, by December 31st to begin school in September | 6 to 7 | - |
| Indonesia | Children may enter at 6 years old, but must enter at 7 years old | 6 | - |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | Children must be 6 years old by September 20th to start school on September 21st of the same year | 6 | - |
| Israel | Children must be 6 years old; each year there is an announcement specifying the birth dates that are relevant to the requirement | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Italy | Children must be 6 years old by December 31st, or by March 31st the following year with an examination | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Japan | Children must be 6 years old by April 1st | 6 | - |
| Jordan | Children must be 5 years, 8 months old | 5 years, 8 months | - |
| Kazakhstan | Children must be 6 years old by the end of August to begin in September | 6 to 7 | - |
| Korea, Rep. of | Children must be 6 years old, or 5 years old based on the guardian's decision | 6 | - |
| Kuwait | Children must be 5.5 years old by September 15th | 6 | - |
| Latvia | Children must be 7 years old during the calendar year | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Lebanon | Children must be 6 years old | 6 | - |
| Lithuania | Children may begin school when they are 6 years old, and are required when they are 7 | 6 to 7 (more 7) | - |
| Malaysia | Children begin school during the calendar year of their 7th birthday | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Malta | Children must be 5 years old by the end of December | 5 | - |
| Mongolia | Children must 7 years old, or in special cases, 8 years old | 7 to 8 | - |
| Morocco | Children must be 6 years old in September | 6 | - |
| Netherlands | Children usually begin primary school at age 6 | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| New Zealand | Children must be in school by the time they are 6 years old, but they may start from their 5 th birthday | 5 | - |
| Norway | Children begin school during the calendar year of their 6th birthday | 6 | - |
| Oman | Children must be 6 years old by September 1st | 6 | - |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | Children must be 5 years, 8 months old by September 1st | 5.5 | - |
| Qatar | Children must be 6 years old at the end of September to begin school in September | 6 | - |
| Romania | Children are 6-7 years old, but there is no specific date regulation about the age of entry | 7 | - |
| Russian Federation | Children must be 6.5 years old | 6 to 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Saudi Arabia | Children must be 6 years old, or must turn 6 within 90 days of starting school | 5 to 6 | - |
| Scotland | Children can begin school between the ages of 4.5 and 6 ; those with a March-August birth date must start in the August following their 5th birthday; children with a September-February birth date may defer entry until the following year | 4.5 to 5.5 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Serbia | Children must be at least 6.5 years old and no older than 7.5 years old by September 1st to begin school in September | 7 | - |
| Singapore | Children must be 6 years old by January 1st of the year of admission | 6 | - |
| Slovak Republic | Children must be 6 years old by the end of August to begin school in September | 6 | - |

## Background data provided by National Research Coordinators.

* Age of entry to primary school based on the beginning of ISCED Level 1 in UNESCO's International Standard Classification of Education (Operational Manual for ISCED-97).
** Represents years of schooling counting from the first year of ISCED Level 1.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Exhibit A. } 3 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Information About the Grades and Ages of Students Tested } \\ \text { in TIMSS } 2007 \text { (Continued) }\end{array}\end{array}$
TIMSS2007 $4^{\text {th }} 8^{\text {t }}$

| Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Country |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country's Name for Grade Tested | Years of Formal Schooling** | Average Age at Time of Testing | Country's Name for Grade Tested | Years of Formal Schooling** | Average Age at Time of Testing |  |
| Four year primary | 4 | 10.2 | Second year of middle school | 8 | 14.5 | Algeria |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.6 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.9 | Armenia |
| Year 4 | 4 | 9.9 | Year 8 | 8 | 13.9 | Australia |
| Fourth grade / <br> Last grade of primary education | 4 | 10.3 |  |  |  | Austria |
|  |  |  | Second intermediate | 8 | 14.1 | Bahrain |
|  |  |  | Final grade (grade 8 and grade 9) | 8 or 9 | 14.7 | Bosnia and Herzegovina |
|  |  |  | Form one | 8 | 14.9 | Botswana |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.9 | Bulgaria |
| Elementary school, grade 4 | 4 | 10.2 | Junior high school, grade 8 | 8 | 14.2 | Chinese Taipei |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.4 | Eigth grade | 8 | 14.5 | Colombia |
|  |  |  | B Gymnasium | 8 | 13.8 | Cyprus |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.3 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.4 | Czech Republic |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 11.0 |  |  |  | Denmark |
|  |  |  | Preparatory 2 | 8 | 14.1 | Egypt |
| Fourth grade of basic education | 4 | 11.0 | Eighth grade of basic education | 8 | 15.0 | El Salvador |
| Year 5 | 5 | 10.2 | Year 9 | 9 | 14.2 | England |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.1 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.2 | Georgia |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.4 |  |  |  | Germany |
|  |  |  | Junior secondary school II (JSS II) | 8 | 15.8 | Ghana |
| Primary 4 | 4 | 10.2 | Secondary 2 | 8 | 14.4 | Hong Kong SAR |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.7 | Eighth grade | 8 | 14.6 | Hungary |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.3 | Indonesia |
| Fourth grade of primary school | 4 | 10.2 | Third year in guidance school | 8 | 14.2 | Iran, Islamic Rep. of |
|  |  |  | Eighth Grade | 8 | 14.0 | Israel |
| Grade 4 (IV class of primary school) | 4 | 9.8 | Grade 8 (III Media) | 8 | 13.9 | Italy |
| Fourth grade at the elementary school | 4 | 10.5 | Second grade at the lower secondary school | 8 | 14.5 | Japan |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.0 | Jordan |
| Fourth grade (1st stage of basic education) | 4 | 10.6 |  |  |  | Kazakhstan |
|  |  |  | Grade 2 of middle school | 8 | 14.3 | Korea, Rep. of |
| Grade 5 (Primary) | 4 | 10.2 | Ninth grade (Intermediate) | 8 | 14.4 | Kuwait |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 11.0 |  |  |  | Latvia |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 of the basic educational level | 8 | 14.4 | Lebanon |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.8 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.9 | Lithuania |
|  |  |  | Form 2 (Grade 8) | 8 | 14.3 | Malaysia |
|  |  |  | Form 3 (Grade 9) | 9 | 14.0 | Malta |
| Primary 4 | 4 | 10.6 | Secondary 8 | 8 | 14.9 | Mongolia |
| Grade 4 primary school | 4 | 10.6 | Second year collegial | 8 | 14.8 | Morocco |
| Grade 6 (the first year of kindergarten is grade 1) | 4 | 10.2 |  |  |  | Netherlands |
| Year 5 (year 1 is equivalent to kindergarten) Grade 4 | 4.5-5.5 | 10.0 |  |  |  | New Zealand |
|  | 4 | 9.8 | Grade 8 | 8 | 13.8 | Norway |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.3 | Oman |
|  |  |  | Eighth grade | 8 | 14.0 | Palestinian Nat'l Auth. |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 9.7 | Grade 8 | 8 | 13.9 | Qatar |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 15.0 | Romania |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.8 | Eighth grade | 7 or 8 | 14.6 | Russian Federation |
|  |  |  | Second year of middle school | 8 | 14.4 | Saudi Arabia |
| Primary 5 (P5) | 5 | 9.8 | Secondary 2 (S2) | 9 | 13.7 | Scotland |
|  |  |  | Eighth grade | 8 | 14.9 | Serbia |
| Primary 4 | 4 | 10.4 | Secondary 2 | 8 | 14.4 | Singapore |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.4 |  |  |  | Slovak Republic |


| Exhibit A. 3 | tion About the Grades and Ages of Students Tested 2007 (Continued) | TIMSS2007 $4_{8}^{\text {th }} 8^{\text {th }}$ Grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades 4 and 8 |  |  |
| Country | Policy on Age of Entry to Primary School* | Practice on Age of Entry to Primary School | Policy on Promotion/ Retention |
| Slovenia | Children must be 6 years old by December 31st | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sweden | Children must begin during the calendar year they turn 7; upon parental request, children may start school the year they turn 6 or 8 | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Syrian Arab Republic | Children must be 5 years, 9 months old by January | 6 | - |
| Thailand | Children must be 6 years old by May 16th | 5 to 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tunisia | Children must be 6 years old by the end of December of the year in which they enter school, or by the end of March if there are vacancies | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Turkey | Children must be 6 years old by the end of September | 6 | - |
| Ukraine | Children begin school during the calendar year of their 7th birthday | 7 | - |
| United States | Policies vary by state | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Yemen | Children must be 6 years old by October 1st of the related school year | 6 | $\bullet$ |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | Children must be 6 years old by June 1st to begin school the following September | 5 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Basque Country, Spain | Children begin school during the calendar year of their 6th birthday | 6 | - |
| British Columbia, Canada | Children must be 6 years old by December 31 of that school year | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Dubai, UAE | Children must be 5.5 years old by 0 ctober 1st | 5 years, 8 months | $\bigcirc$ |
| Massachusetts, US | Children must be 6 years old during the calendar year (or younger if the school committee agrees) to start in September | 5 or 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Minnesota, US | Children must be in school by the time they are 7 years old | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Ontario, Canada | Children who are 6 years old by the first school day in September are required to begin, but any student who is 6 by December 31st may also begin in September | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Quebec, Canada | Children must be 6 years old by October 1st to begin in September | 6 | - |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |


| Exhibit A. 3 | n About 007 (Cont | e Grades nued) | Ages of Students |  |  | TIMSS2007 $4^{\text {th }} 8^{\text {th }}$ Science Grades |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Country |
| Country's Name for Grade Tested | Years of Formal Schooling** | Average Age at Time of Testing | Country's Name for Grade Tested | Years of Formal Schooling** | Average Age at Time of Testing |  |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 9.8 | Grade 8 | 7 or 8 | 13.8 | Slovenia |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.8 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.8 | Sweden |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 | 8 | 13.9 | Syrian Arab Republic |
|  |  |  | Middle school grade 2 | 8 | 14.3 | Thailand |
| Fourth grade of basic school | 4 | 10.2 | Eighth year of basic school | 8 | 14.5 | Tunisia |
|  |  |  | Eighth Grade | 8 | 14.0 | Turkey |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 10.3 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.2 | Ukraine |
| Grade 4 of elementary school | 4 | 10.3 | Grade 8 | 8 | 14.3 | United States |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 11.2 |  |  |  | Yemen |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Benchmarking Participants |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 9.8 |  |  |  | Alberta, Canada |
|  |  |  | Second course of secondary compulsory education | 8 | 14.1 | Basque Country, Spain |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 9.8 | Grade 8 | 8 | 13.9 | British Columbia, Canada |
| Grade 4 or Grade 5 | 4 | 10.0 | Grade 8 or Grade 9 | 8 | 14.2 | Dubai, UAE |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.3 | Eighth grade | 8 | 14.2 | Massachusetts, US |
| Fourth grade | 4 | 10.3 | Eighth grade | 8 | 14.3 | Minnesota, US |
| Grade 4 | 4 | 9.8 | Grade 8 | 8 | 13.8 | Ontario, Canada |
| Second year of second cycle | 4 | 10.1 | Secondary II (cycle one) | 8 | 14.2 | Quebec, Canada |

## Sample Implementation and Participation Rates

The TIMSS 2007 assessment was administered to carefully-drawn random samples of students from the target population in each country. Because the accuracy of the TIMSS results depends on the quality of the national samples, TIMSS worked with participating countries on all phases of sampling to ensure efficient sampling design and implementation. National coordinators were trained in how to select the school and student samples, and in how to use the $\mathrm{WinW}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ sampling software provided by the IEA Data Processing and Research Center. Staff from Statistics Canada reviewed the national sampling plans, sampling data, sampling frames, and sample selections. The sampling documentation was used by the TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center (in consultation with Statistics Canada and the sampling referee) to evaluate the quality of the samples.

In a few situations where it was not possible to test the entire international target population (i.e., all students enrolled in the fourth or eighth grade), countries were permitted to define a target population that excluded part of the international target population. Exhibit A. 4 shows any differences in coverage between the international and national target populations. Almost all participants achieved $100 \%$ coverage, the exceptions at fourth grade being Georgia (tested only students taught in Georgian), Kazakhstan (students taught in Kazakh or Russian), Latvia (students taught in Latvian), and Lithuania (students taught in Lithuanian), and, at eighth grade, Georgia (tested only students taught in Georgian), Lithuania (students taught in Lithuanian), and Serbia (did not include Kosovo).

Within the target population, countries could define a population that excluded a small percentage (no more than 5\%) of certain kinds of schools or students that would be very difficult or resource intensive to test (e.g., schools for students with special needs or schools that were very small or located in remote rural areas). Almost all countries kept their excluded students below the $5 \%$ limit. The only exceptions at the fourth grade were the United States and among benchmarking participants, the U.S. states of Massachusetts and Minnesota and the Canadian provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec, which excluded more than 5 but less than

10 percent of their fourth grade populations. Exceptions at the eighth grade included Serbia and the United States, as well as Massachusetts, Minnesota, and Ontario, which excluded more than 5 but less than 10 percent of their eighth grade population, and Israel, British Columbia, and Quebec, which excluded more that 10 percent of their eighth-grade student population.

The basic design of the sample used in TIMSS 2007 was a two-stage stratified cluster design. ${ }^{3}$ The first stage consisted of a sampling of schools, and the second stage of a sampling of intact classrooms from the target grade in the sampled schools. Schools were selected with probability proportional to size, and classrooms with equal probabilities. Most countries sampled 150 schools, and one or two intact classrooms from each school. ${ }^{4}$ This approach was designed to yield a representative sample of at least 4,500 students in each country.

Exhibits A. 5 and A. 6 present achieved sample sizes for schools and students, respectively. ${ }^{5}$ Exhibit A. 7 shows the participation rates for schools, students, and overall-both with and without the use of replacement schools. Most countries achieved the minimum acceptable participation rates- 85 percent of both the schools and students, or a combined rate (the product of school and student participation) of 75 percent-although, at the fourth grade, Denmark, Scotland, the United States, and Minnesota did so only after including replacement schools and have been annotated in the exhibits of this report. Although the Netherlands had an overall participation rate of 91 percent including replacement schools, its participation rate among schools before replacement (48\%) was just below the required minimum of 50 percent, and so the Netherlands has been annotated accordingly. At the eighth grade, all participants except Morocco achieved the minimum acceptable participation rate, although England, Hong Kong SAR, Scotland, the United States, and Minnesota did so only after including replacement schools and were annotated in exhibits in this report. Morocco, with an overall participation rate of 55 percent, was annotated in report exhibits and placed below a line following the other countries. Mongolia did not provide the necessary documentation for sampling, data collection, and scoring activities so its achievement data are summarized in Appendix E.

[^2]TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center Lynch School of Education, Boston College

Exhibit A. 4 Coverage of TIMSS 2007 Target Population
TIMSS2007 $\prod^{\text {th }}$
Science Grade

| Country | International Target Population |  | Exclusions from National Target Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coverage | Notes on Coverage | School-level Exclusions | Within-sample Exclusions | Overall <br> Exclusions |
| Algeria | 100\% |  | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Armenia | 100\% |  | 2.7\% | 0.7\% | 3.4\% |
| Australia | 100\% |  | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 4.0\% |
| Austria | 100\% |  | 1.3\% | 3.7\% | 5.0\% |
| Chinese Taipei | 100\% |  | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% |
| Colombia | 100\% |  | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% |
| Czech Republic | 100\% |  | 4.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.9\% |
| Denmark | 100\% |  | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 4.1\% |
| El Salvador | 100\% |  | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% |
| England | 100\% |  | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 2.1\% |
| Georgia | 85\% | Students taught in Georgian | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 4.8\% |
| Germany | 100\% |  | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 1.3\% |
| Hong Kong SAR | 100\% |  | 4.9\% | 0.5\% | 5.4\% |
| Hungary | 100\% |  | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 100\% |  | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Italy | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% |
| Japan | 100\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% |
| Kazakhstan | 94\% | Students taught in Kazakh or Russian | 2.2\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% |
| Kuwait | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Latvia | 72\% | Students taught in Latvian | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | 4.6\% |
| Lithuania | 93\% | Students taught in Lithuanian | 2.2\% | 3.1\% | 5.4\% |
| Morocco | 100\% |  | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Netherlands | 100\% |  | 3.7\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% |
| New Zealand | 100\% |  | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 5.4\% |
| Norway | 100\% |  | 1.9\% | 3.3\% | 5.1\% |
| Qatar | 100\% |  | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.8\% |
| Russian Federation | 100\% |  | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 3.6\% |
| Scotland | 100\% |  | 2.6\% | 1.9\% | 4.5\% |
| Singapore | 100\% |  | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
| Slovak Republic | 100\% |  | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% |
| Slovenia | 100\% |  | 0.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% |
| Sweden | 100\% |  | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Tunisia | 100\% |  | 2.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Ukraine | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| United States | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% |
| Yemen | 100\% |  | 1.9\% | 0.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 100\% |  | 2.0\% | 5.7\% | 7.6\% |
| British Columbia, Canada | 100\% |  | 2.2\% | 6.9\% | 9.2\% |
| Dubai, UAE | 100\% |  | 4.2\% | 1.2\% | 5.4\% |
| Massachusetts, US | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 10.4\% |
| Minnesota, US | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 8.3\% |
| Ontario, Canada | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 100\% |  | 2.1\% | 4.3\% | 6.4\% |

TIMSS \& PIRLS
International Study Center
Lynch School of Education, Boston College

Exhibit A. 4 Coverage of TIMSS 2007 Target Population (Continued)
TIMSS2007 $8^{\text {th }}$
Science OGrade

| Country | International Target Population |  | Exclusions from National Target Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coverage | Notes on Coverage | School-level Exclusions | Within-sample Exclusions | Overall Exclusions |
| Algeria | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Armenia | 100\% |  | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 3.3\% |
| Australia | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% |
| Bahrain | 100\% |  | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 100\% |  | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Botswana | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Bulgaria | 100\% |  | 2.2\% | 18.2\% | 20.3\% |
| Chinese Taipei | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 3.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Colombia | 100\% |  | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 1.6\% |
| Cyprus | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Czech Republic | 100\% |  | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 4.6\% |
| Egypt | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| El Salvador | 100\% |  | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% |
| England | 100\% |  | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.3\% |
| Georgia | 85\% | Students taught in Georgian | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 3.9\% |
| Ghana | 100\% |  | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Hong Kong SAR | 100\% |  | 3.7\% | 0.1\% | 3.8\% |
| Hungary | 100\% |  | 2.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.9\% |
| Indonesia | 100\% |  | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 100\% |  | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Israel | 100\% |  | 14.5\% | 8.3\% | 22.8\% |
| Italy | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% |
| Japan | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.5\% |
| Jordan | 100\% |  | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% |
| Korea, Rep. of | 100\% |  | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% |
| Kuwait | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Lebanon | 100\% |  | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Lithuania | 92\% | Students taught in Lithuanian | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 4.2\% |
| Malaysia | 100\% |  | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% |
| Malta | 100\% |  | 0.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Morocco | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Norway | 100\% |  | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.6\% |
| Oman | 100\% |  | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 100\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
| Qatar | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
| Romania | 100\% |  | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% |
| Russian Federation | 100\% |  | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Saudi Arabia | 100\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% |
| Scotland | 100\% |  | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% |
| Serbia | 80\% | Serbia without Kosovo | 2.9\% | 3.9\% | 6.8\% |
| Singapore | 100\% |  | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Slovenia | 100\% |  | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Sweden | 100\% |  | 2.1\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 100\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Thailand | 100\% |  | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Tunisia | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Turkey | 100\% |  | 2.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.6\% |
| Ukraine | 100\% |  | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| United States | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.9\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 100\% |  | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | 4.2\% |
| British Columbia, Canada | 100\% |  | 2.8\% | 15.0\% | 17.7\% |
| Dubai, UAE | 100\% |  | 4.2\% | 0.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Massachusetts, US | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.4\% |
| Minnesota, US | 100\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 7.5\% |
| Ontario, Canada | 100\% |  | 0.4\% | 5.8\% | 6.2\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 100\% |  | 1.5\% | 12.1\% | 13.6\% |


| School Sample Sizes |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { TIMSS2007 } \\ \text { Science } \\ \text { Thade } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Number of Schools in Original Sample | Number of Eligible Schools in Original Sample | Number of Schools in Original Sample that Participated | Number of Replacement Schools that Participated | Total Number of Schools that Participated |
| Algeria | 150 | 150 | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| Armenia | 150 | 148 | 143 | 5 | 148 |
| Australia | 230 | 229 | 226 | 3 | 229 |
| Austria | 199 | 197 | 194 | 2 | 196 |
| Chinese Taipei | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Colombia | 150 | 143 | 132 | 10 | 142 |
| Czech Republic | 150 | 147 | 132 | 12 | 144 |
| Denmark | 150 | 150 | 105 | 32 | 137 |
| El Salvador | 150 | 148 | 146 | 2 | 148 |
| England | 160 | 159 | 131 | 12 | 143 |
| Georgia | 152 | 144 | 131 | 13 | 144 |
| Germany | 250 | 247 | 239 | 7 | 246 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 150 | 150 | 122 | 4 | 126 |
| Hungary | 150 | 145 | 135 | 9 | 144 |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 240 | 224 | 224 | 0 | 224 |
| Italy | 170 | 170 | 155 | 15 | 170 |
| Japan | 150 | 150 | 145 | 3 | 148 |
| Kazakhstan | 150 | 141 | 140 | 1 | 141 |
| Kuwait | 150 | 150 | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| Latvia | 150 | 150 | 140 | 6 | 146 |
| Lithuania | 163 | 156 | 154 | 2 | 156 |
| Morocco | 226 | 224 | 184 | 0 | 184 |
| Netherlands | 150 | 148 | 72 | 69 | 141 |
| New Zealand | 220 | 220 | 213 | 7 | 220 |
| Norway | 150 | 150 | 131 | 14 | 145 |
| Qatar | 114 | 114 | 114 | 0 | 114 |
| Russian Federation | 206 | 206 | 206 | 0 | 206 |
| Scotland | 150 | 148 | 114 | 25 | 139 |
| Singapore | 177 | 177 | 177 | 0 | 177 |
| Slovak Republic | 184 | 184 | 181 | 3 | 184 |
| Slovenia | 150 | 150 | 138 | 10 | 148 |
| Sweden | 160 | 155 | 151 | 4 | 155 |
| Tunisia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Ukraine | 150 | 150 | 144 | 0 | 144 |
| United States | 300 | 290 | 202 | 55 | 257 |
| Yemen | 150 | 144 | 143 | 1 | 144 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 150 | 148 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| British Columbia, Canada | 150 | 150 | 147 | 3 | 150 |
| Dubai, UAE | 143 | 132 | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| Massachusetts, US | 50 | 49 | 45 | 2 | 47 |
| Minnesota, US | 50 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 50 |
| Ontario, Canada | 200 | 197 | 179 | 9 | 188 |
| Quebec, Canada | 200 | 192 | 185 | 1 | 186 |


| Exhibit A. 5 School | ple Sizes (C | nued) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TIMSS2007 } \\ \text { Science } \\ \text { Q }^{\text {th }} \\ \text { Grade } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Number of Schools in Original Sample | Number of Eligible Schools in Original Sample | Number of Schools in Original Sample that Participated | Number of Replacement Schools that Participated | Total Number of Schools that Participated |
| Algeria | 150 | 150 | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| Armenia | 150 | 148 | 143 | 5 | 148 |
| Australia | 230 | 228 | 228 | 0 | 228 |
| Bahrain | 74 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 74 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Botswana | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Bulgaria | 170 | 142 | 134 | 5 | 139 |
| Chinese Taipei | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Colombia | 150 | 148 | 142 | 6 | 148 |
| Cyprus | 67 | 67 | 67 | 0 | 67 |
| Czech Republic | 150 | 147 | 135 | 12 | 147 |
| Egypt | 237 | 233 | 231 | 2 | 233 |
| El Salvador | 150 | 145 | 143 | 2 | 145 |
| England | 160 | 160 | 126 | 11 | 137 |
| Georgia | 152 | 135 | 131 | 4 | 135 |
| Ghana | 163 | 163 | 163 | 0 | 163 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 152 | 152 | 112 | 8 | 120 |
| Hungary | 150 | 145 | 133 | 11 | 144 |
| Indonesia | 150 | 149 | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 220 | 208 | 208 | 0 | 208 |
| Israel | 150 | 150 | 140 | 6 | 146 |
| Italy | 170 | 170 | 159 | 11 | 170 |
| Japan | 150 | 150 | 144 | 2 | 146 |
| Jordan | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 200 |
| Korea, Rep. of | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Kuwait | 163 | 163 | 158 | 0 | 158 |
| Lebanon | 150 | 148 | 120 | 16 | 136 |
| Lithuania | 150 | 144 | 141 | 1 | 142 |
| Malaysia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Malta | 60 | 59 | 59 | 0 | 59 |
| Morocco | 205 | 205 | 131 | 0 | 131 |
| Norway | 150 | 150 | 133 | 6 | 139 |
| Oman | 150 | 146 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 155 | 148 | 147 | 1 | 148 |
| Qatar | 67 | 67 | 66 | 0 | 66 |
| Romania | 150 | 150 | 149 | 0 | 149 |
| Russian Federation | 210 | 210 | 210 | 0 | 210 |
| Saudi Arabia | 167 | 166 | 165 | 0 | 165 |
| Scotland | 150 | 150 | 109 | 20 | 129 |
| Serbia | 150 | 147 | 147 | 0 | 147 |
| Singapore | 164 | 164 | 164 | 0 | 164 |
| Slovenia | 150 | 150 | 138 | 10 | 148 |
| Sweden | 160 | 159 | 158 | 1 | 159 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Thailand | 150 | 150 | 134 | 16 | 150 |
| Tunisia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| Turkey | 150 | 146 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| Ukraine | 150 | 150 | 146 | 0 | 146 |
| United States | 300 | 287 | 197 | 42 | 239 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 130 | 130 | 130 | 0 | 130 |
| British Columbia, Canada | 150 | 150 | 147 | 3 | 150 |
| Dubai, UAE | 122 | 115 | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| Massachusetts, US | 50 | 49 | 45 | 3 | 48 |
| Minnesota, US | 50 | 50 | 32 | 17 | 49 |
| Ontario, Canada | 200 | 191 | 168 | 8 | 176 |
| Quebec, Canada | 191 | 183 | 170 | 0 | 170 |


| Student Sample Sizes TIM T |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TIMSS2007 } \\ \text { Science } \\ 4 \text { Grade } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Within-school Student Participation (Weighted Percentage) | Number of Sampled Students in Participating Schools | Number of Students Withdrawn from Class/School | Number of Students Excluded | Number of Eligible Students | Number of Students Absent | Number of Students Assessed |
| Algeria | 97\% | 4366 | 22 | 0 | 4344 | 121 | 4223 |
| Armenia | 96\% | 4253 | 0 | 0 | 4253 | 174 | 4079 |
| Australia | 95\% | 4511 | 78 | 105 | 4328 | 220 | 4108 |
| Austria | 98\% | 5158 | 18 | 156 | 4984 | 125 | 4859 |
| Chinese Taipei | 100\% | 4260 | 17 | 93 | 4150 | 19 | 4131 |
| Colombia | 98\% | 5320 | 349 | 40 | 4931 | 130 | 4801 |
| Czech Republic | 94\% | 4583 | 41 | 17 | 4525 | 290 | 4235 |
| Denmark | 94\% | 3907 | 59 | 89 | 3759 | 240 | 3519 |
| El Salvador | 98\% | 4467 | 202 | 0 | 4265 | 99 | 4166 |
| England | 93\% | 4784 | 128 | 33 | 4623 | 307 | 4316 |
| Georgia | 98\% | 4384 | 69 | 68 | 4247 | 139 | 4108 |
| Germany | 97\% | 5464 | 78 | 9 | 5377 | 177 | 5200 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 96\% | 3965 | 13 | 23 | 3929 | 138 | 3791 |
| Hungary | 97\% | 4221 | 22 | 26 | 4173 | 125 | 4048 |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 99\% | 3939 | 53 | 2 | 3884 | 51 | 3833 |
| Italy | 97\% | 4912 | 20 | 256 | 4636 | 166 | 4470 |
| Japan | 97\% | 4677 | 7 | 20 | 4650 | 163 | 4487 |
| Kazakhstan | 100\% | 4063 | 22 | 39 | 4002 | 12 | 3990 |
| Kuwait | 85\% | 4468 | 439 | 0 | 4029 | 226 | 3803 |
| Latvia | 95\% | 4188 | 2 | 10 | 4176 | 268 | 3908 |
| Lithuania | 94\% | 4345 | 15 | 122 | 4208 | 228 | 3980 |
| Morocco | 96\% | 4282 | 215 | 0 | 4067 | 173 | 3894 |
| Netherlands | 97\% | 3608 | 152 | 9 | 3447 | 98 | 3349 |
| New Zealand | 96\% | 5347 | 104 | 86 | 5157 | 217 | 4940 |
| Norway | 95\% | 4462 | 21 | 143 | 4298 | 190 | 4108 |
| Qatar | 97\% | 7411 | 153 | 18 | 7240 | 221 | 7019 |
| Russian Federation | 98\% | 4659 | 36 | 42 | 4581 | 117 | 4464 |
| Scotland | 94\% | 4320 | 92 | 32 | 4196 | 267 | 3929 |
| Singapore | 96\% | 5235 | 26 | 1 | 5208 | 167 | 5041 |
| Slovak Republic | 97\% | 5269 | 47 | 64 | 5158 | 195 | 4963 |
| Slovenia | 95\% | 4664 | 10 | 57 | 4597 | 246 | 4351 |
| Sweden | 97\% | 4965 | 60 | 49 | 4856 | 180 | 4676 |
| Tunisia | 99\% | 4242 | 50 | 10 | 4182 | 48 | 4134 |
| Ukraine | 97\% | 4459 | 16 | 0 | 4443 | 151 | 4292 |
| United States | 95\% | 9000 | 140 | 543 | 8317 | 421 | 7896 |
| Yemen | 98\% | 6128 | 180 | 8 | 5940 | 129 | 5811 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 96\% | 4557 | 105 | 222 | 4230 | 193 | 4037 |
| British Columbia, Canada | 96\% | 4758 | 67 | 342 | 4349 | 196 | 4153 |
| Dubai, UAE | 91\% | 3421 | 19 | 4 | 3398 | 334 | 3064 |
| Massachusetts, US | 96\% | 1971 | 11 | 136 | 1824 | 77 | 1747 |
| Minnesota, US | 97\% | 2034 | 23 | 101 | 1910 | 64 | 1846 |
| Ontario, Canada | 95\% | 3903 | 34 | 194 | 3675 | 179 | 3496 |
| Quebec, Canada | 86\% | 4645 | 34 | 78 | 4533 | 648 | 3885 |


| hibit A. 6 Student Sample Sizes (Continued) T |  |  |  |  |  |  | TIMSS2007 Science $8^{\text {th }}$ $\qquad$ Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Within-school Student Participation (Weighted Percentage) | Number of Sampled Students in Participating Schools |  | Number of Students Excluded | Number of Eligible Students | Number of Students Absent | Number of Students Assessed |
| Algeria | 96\% | 5793 | 83 | 0 | 5710 | 263 | 5447 |
| Armenia | 96\% | 4898 | 0 | 0 | 4898 | 209 | 4689 |
| Australia | 93\% | 4549 | 84 | 37 | 4428 | 359 | 4069 |
| Bahrain | 97\% | 4434 | 61 | 5 | 4368 | 138 | 4230 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 98\% | 4373 | 22 | 44 | 4307 | 87 | 4220 |
| Botswana | 99\% | 4310 | 63 | 2 | 4245 | 37 | 4208 |
| Bulgaria | 96\% | 3426 | 69 | 124 | 3233 | 154 | 3079 |
| Chinese Taipei | 99\% | 4164 | 25 | 53 | 4086 | 40 | 4046 |
| Colombia | 98\% | 5343 | 368 | 4 | 4971 | 98 | 4873 |
| Cyprus | 96\% | 4755 | 41 | 139 | 4575 | 176 | 4399 |
| Czech Republic | 95\% | 5182 | 41 | 12 | 5129 | 284 | 4845 |
| Egypt | 98\% | 6906 | 151 | 1 | 6754 | 172 | 6582 |
| El Salvador | 98\% | 4329 | 191 | 0 | 4138 | 75 | 4063 |
| England | 88\% | 4768 | 153 | 15 | 4600 | 575 | 4025 |
| Georgia | 97\% | 4533 | 139 | 48 | 4346 | 168 | 4178 |
| Ghana | 98\% | 5678 | 270 | 0 | 5408 | 114 | 5294 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 96\% | 3657 | 29 | 2 | 3626 | 156 | 3470 |
| Hungary | 97\% | 4321 | 21 | 30 | 4270 | 159 | 4111 |
| Indonesia | 97\% | 4419 | 95 | 0 | 4324 | 121 | 4203 |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 98\% | 4140 | 95 | 0 | 4045 | 64 | 3981 |
| Israel | 94\% | 3708 | 12 | 183 | 3513 | 219 | 3294 |
| Italy | 96\% | 4873 | 40 | 231 | 4602 | 194 | 4408 |
| Japan | 93\% | 4656 | 31 | 6 | 4619 | 307 | 4312 |
| Jordan | 96\% | 5733 | 184 | 88 | 5461 | 210 | 5251 |
| Korea, Rep. of | 99\% | 4358 | 36 | 19 | 4303 | 63 | 4240 |
| Kuwait | 87\% | 4721 | 381 | 18 | 4322 | 231 | 4091 |
| Lebanon | 93\% | 4062 | 0 | 0 | 4062 | 276 | 3786 |
| Lithuania | 91\% | 4537 | 35 | 96 | 4406 | 415 | 3991 |
| Malaysia | 98\% | 4589 | 33 | 0 | 4556 | 90 | 4466 |
| Malta | 95\% | 5053 | 18 | 106 | 4929 | 259 | 4670 |
| Morocco | 85\% | 3731 | 134 | 0 | 3597 | 537 | 3060 |
| Norway | 93\% | 5085 | 17 | 78 | 4990 | 363 | 4627 |
| Oman | 99\% | 4894 | 57 | 36 | 4801 | 49 | 4752 |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 98\% | 4572 | 70 | 29 | 4473 | 95 | 4378 |
| Qatar | 97\% | 7558 | 128 | 17 | 7413 | 229 | 7184 |
| Romania | 97\% | 4447 | 119 | 12 | 4316 | 118 | 4198 |
| Russian Federation | 97\% | 4706 | 42 | 51 | 4613 | 141 | 4472 |
| Saudi Arabia | 95\% | 4515 | 1 | 3 | 4511 | 268 | 4243 |
| Scotland | 90\% | 4700 | 137 | 19 | 4544 | 474 | 4070 |
| Serbia | 98\% | 4246 | 16 | 78 | 4152 | 107 | 4045 |
| Singapore | 95\% | 4828 | 37 | 0 | 4791 | 192 | 4599 |
| Slovenia | 93\% | 4414 | 10 | 42 | 4362 | 319 | 4043 |
| Sweden | 94\% | 5712 | 87 | 58 | 5567 | 352 | 5215 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 96\% | 5025 | 199 | 0 | 4826 | 176 | 4650 |
| Thailand | 99\% | 5579 | 89 | 0 | 5490 | 78 | 5412 |
| Tunisia | 98\% | 4258 | 84 | 0 | 4174 | 94 | 4080 |
| Turkey | 98\% | 4682 | 87 | 19 | 4576 | 78 | 4498 |
| Ukraine | 97\% | 4598 | 27 | 0 | 4571 | 147 | 4424 |
| United States | 93\% | 8447 | 202 | 272 | 7973 | 596 | 7377 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 98\% | 2481 | 46 | 83 | 2352 | 56 | 2296 |
| British Columbia, Canada | 94\% | 4836 | 129 | 146 | 4561 | 305 | 4256 |
| Dubai, UAE | 88\% | 3625 | 17 | 6 | 3602 | 407 | 3195 |
| Massachusetts, US | 94\% | 2093 | 23 | 56 | 2014 | 117 | 1897 |
| Minnesota, US | 95\% | 1988 | 21 | 82 | 1885 | 108 | 1777 |
| Ontario, Canada | 95\% | 3842 | 43 | 171 | 3628 | 180 | 3448 |
| Quebec, Canada | 85\% | 4739 | 59 | 45 | 4635 | 679 | 3956 |

Exhibit A. 7 Participation Rates (Weighted)
TIMSS2007 $\boldsymbol{4}^{\text {th }}$

| Country | School Participation |  | Class <br> Participation | Student Participation | Overall Participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Replacement | After Replacement |  |  | Before Replacement | After Replacement |
| Algeria | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Armenia | 93\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 90\% | 96\% |
| Australia | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% |
| Austria | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 96\% | 97\% |
| Chinese Taipei | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Colombia | 93\% | 99\% | 100\% | 98\% | 91\% | 97\% |
| Czech Republic | 89\% | 98\% | 100\% | 94\% | 83\% | 92\% |
| Denmark | 71\% | 91\% | 99\% | 94\% | 66\% | 85\% |
| El Salvador | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% |
| England | 83\% | 90\% | 100\% | 93\% | 77\% | 84\% |
| Georgia | 92\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 90\% | 98\% |
| Germany | 96\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 93\% | 96\% |
| Hong Kong SAR | 81\% | 84\% | 100\% | 96\% | 78\% | 81\% |
| Hungary | 93\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 90\% | 96\% |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Italy | 91\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 88\% | 97\% |
| Japan | 97\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 94\% | 95\% |
| Kazakhstan | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| Kuwait | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Latvia | 93\% | 97\% | 100\% | 95\% | 89\% | 92\% |
| Lithuania | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% |
| Morocco | 81\% | 81\% | 100\% | 96\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| Netherlands | 48\% | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% | 46\% | 91\% |
| New Zealand | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 93\% | 96\% |
| Norway | 88\% | 97\% | 100\% | 95\% | 83\% | 92\% |
| Qatar | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Russian Federation | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Scotland | 77\% | 94\% | 100\% | 94\% | 72\% | 88\% |
| Singapore | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| Slovak Republic | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| Slovenia | 92\% | 99\% | 100\% | 95\% | 87\% | 93\% |
| Sweden | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 94\% | 97\% |
| Tunisia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Ukraine | 96\% | 96\% | 100\% | 97\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| United States | 70\% | 89\% | 100\% | 95\% | 66\% | 84\% |
| Yemen | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 96\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| British Columbia, Canada | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 94\% | 96\% |
| Dubai, UAE | 75\% | 75\% | 98\% | 91\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Massachusetts, US | 92\% | 96\% | 100\% | 96\% | 88\% | 92\% |
| Minnesota, US | 53\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 52\% | 97\% |
| Ontario, Canada | 95\% | 96\% | 100\% | 95\% | 91\% | 92\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 97\% | 98\% | 100\% | 86\% | 83\% | 84\% |


| Exhibit A. 7 | tion Rates (W | hted) (Conti |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIMSS2007 } \\ & \text { Science } \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | School Participation |  | Class Participation | Student Participation | Overall Participation |  |
|  | Before Replacement | After Replacement |  |  | Before Replacement | After Replacement |
| Algeria | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 96\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Armenia | 94\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 90\% | 96\% |
| Australia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| Bahrain | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Botswana | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Bulgaria | 93\% | 98\% | 100\% | 96\% | 89\% | 94\% |
| Chinese Taipei | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Colombia | 96\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 94\% | 98\% |
| Cyprus | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| Czech Republic | 92\% | 100\% | 100\% | 95\% | 87\% | 95\% |
| Egypt | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% |
| El Salvador | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% |
| England | 78\% | 86\% | 100\% | 88\% | 69\% | 75\% |
| Georgia | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 95\% | 97\% |
| Ghana | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Hong Kong SAR | 73\% | 79\% | 100\% | 96\% | 70\% | 75\% |
| Hungary | 92\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 89\% | 96\% |
| Indonesia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Israel | 94\% | 97\% | 100\% | 94\% | 88\% | 91\% |
| Italy | 93\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 89\% | 96\% |
| Japan | 96\% | 97\% | 100\% | 93\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| Jordan | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| Korea, Rep. of | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Kuwait | 97\% | 97\% | 100\% | 87\% | 84\% | 84\% |
| Lebanon | 81\% | 92\% | 100\% | 93\% | 76\% | 85\% |
| Lithuania | 98\% | 99\% | 100\% | 91\% | 89\% | 90\% |
| Malaysia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Malta | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 95\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| Morocco | 65\% | 65\% | 100\% | 85\% | 55\% | 55\% |
| Norway | 88\% | 93\% | 100\% | 93\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| Oman | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Qatar | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Romania | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Russian Federation | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Saudi Arabia | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 95\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| Scotland | 74\% | 86\% | 100\% | 90\% | 66\% | 77\% |
| Serbia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Singapore | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Slovenia | 92\% | 99\% | 100\% | 93\% | 85\% | 92\% |
| Sweden | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| Thailand | 90\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 88\% | 99\% |
| Tunisia | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Turkey | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Ukraine | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 97\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| United States | 68\% | 83\% | 99\% | 93\% | 63\% | 77\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| British Columbia, Canada | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 94\% | 92\% | 94\% |
| Dubai, UAE | 79\% | 79\% | 99\% | 88\% | 69\% | 69\% |
| Massachusetts, US | 93\% | 98\% | 100\% | 94\% | 88\% | 92\% |
| Minnesota, US | 61\% | 98\% | 100\% | 95\% | 58\% | 93\% |
| Ontario, Canada | 90\% | 94\% | 100\% | 95\% | 86\% | 89\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 93\% | 93\% | 97\% | 85\% | 77\% | 77\% |

Because an important goal of the TIMSS 2007 assessment was to measure changes in students' science achievement since 1995, it was important to track any changes in population composition and coverage since then that might be related to student achievement. Exhibit A. 8 presents, for each TIMSS participant, four attributes of the fourth grade populations sampled in 2007, 2003, and 1995 and the eighth grade populations sampled in 2007, 2003, 1999, and 1995: number of years of formal schooling, average student age at time of testing, percentage of students excluded from the assessment, and overall sampling participation rate (after replacement). Most countries and provinces were very similar with regard to these attributes across the three TIMSS cycles at fourth grade and four cycles at eighth grade, although there have been changes in some countries in the age and grade structure of the assessed populations, and in the exclusion rate.

Although Australia, since 2003, has tested only fourth grade students for the fourth grade population and only eighth grade students for the eighth grade population, in 1995 the younger assessment population contained fourth grade students from some states and fifth grade students from other states, and similarly the older population contained a mixture of eighth and ninth grade students. Because of this, Australian students were somewhat older, on average, in 1995. The Russian Federation and Slovenia have undergone structural changes in the age at which children enter schools that are reflected in their samples. In 2003, the Russian fourth grade sample contained third-grade students from some regions and fourth-grade students from others, whereas all students were in fourth grade in 2007. At the eighth grade, there was still a mixture of seventh and eighth grade students in 2007, although with proportionally more eighth grade students, and correspondingly a higher average age. Slovenia is in transition towards having all children begin school at an earlier age so that they all will have four years of primary schooling at the fourth grade instead of three years, as was the case in 2003. At eighth grade, the transition was not complete in 2007.

## Exhibit A. 8 Trends in Student Populations

TIMSS2007 $\Delta^{\text {th }}$
Science Grade

| Country | Years of Formal Schooling* |  |  | Average Age at Time of Testing |  |  | Overall Exclusion Rates |  |  | Overall Participation Rates (After Replacement) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2003 | 1995 | 2007 | 2003 | 1995 | 2007 | 2003 | 1995 | 2007 | 2003 | 1995 |
| Armenia | 4 | 4 |  | 10.6 | 10.9 |  | 3.4\% | 2.9\% |  | 96\% | 90\% |  |
| Australia | 4 | 4 | 4 or 5 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 1.8\% | 95\% | 85\% | 66\% |
| Austria | 4 |  | 4 | 10.3 |  | 10.5 | 5.0\% |  | 2.8\% | 97\% |  | 69\% |
| Chinese Taipei | 4 | 4 |  | 10.2 | 10.2 |  | 2.8\% | 3.1\% |  | 100\% | 99\% |  |
| Czech Republic | 4 |  | 4 | 10.3 |  | 10.4 | 4.9\% |  | 4.1\% | 92\% |  | 86\% |
| England | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 12.1\% | 84\% | 76\% | 83\% |
| Hong Kong SAR | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 5.4\% | 3.8\% | 2.7\% | 81\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| Hungary | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 4.4\% | 8.1\% | 3.8\% | 96\% | 93\% | 92\% |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 3.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.3\% | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% |
| Italy | 4 | 4 |  | 9.8 | 9.8 |  | 5.3\% | 4.2\% |  | 97\% | 97\% |  |
| Japan | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 3.0\% | 95\% | 97\% | 92\% |
| Latvia | 4 | 4 | 4 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 4.6\% | 4.4\% | 2.1\% | 92\% | 88\% | 69\% |
| Lithuania | 4 | 4 |  | 10.8 | 10.9 |  | 5.4\% | 4.6\% |  | 94\% | 87\% |  |
| Morocco | 4 | 4 |  | 10.6 | 11.0 |  | 1.4\% | 2.2\% |  | 77\% | 81\% |  |
| Netherlands | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 4.8\% | 5.2\% | 4.4\% | 91\% | 84\% | 59\% |
| New Zealand | 4.5-5.5 | 4.5-5.5 | 4.5-5.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.4\% | 4.0\% | 1.3\% | 96\% | 93\% | 95\% |
| Norway | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 5.1\% | 4.4\% | 3.1\% | 92\% | 88\% | 91\% |
| Russian Federation | 4 | 3 or 4 |  | 10.8 | 10.6 |  | 3.6\% | 6.8\% |  | 98\% | 97\% |  |
| Scotland | 5 | 5 | 5 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 4.5\% | 1.5\% | 6.7\% | 88\% | 77\% | 76\% |
| Singapore | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Slovenia | 4 | 3 or 4 | 3 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 93\% | 91\% | 77\% |
| Tunisia | 4 | 4 |  | 10.2 | 10.4 |  | 2.9\% | 0.9\% |  | 99\% | 99\% |  |
| United States | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 9.2\% | 5.1\% | 4.7\% | 84\% | 78\% | 80\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 4 |  | 4 | 9.8 |  | 10.0 | 7.6\% |  | - | 94\% |  | 91\% |
| Minnesota, US | 4 |  | 4 | 10.3 |  | 10.3 | 8.3\% |  | - | 97\% |  | - |
| Ontario, Canada | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 6.3\% | 4.8\% | - | 92\% | 90\% | 92\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 6.4\% | 3.6\% | - | 84\% | 91\% | 81\% |

[^3]A dash (-) indicates comparable data are not available.

Exhibit A. 8 Trends in Student Populations (Continued)

| Country | Years of Formal Schooling* |  |  |  | Average Age at Time of Testing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2003 | 1999 | 1995 | 2007 | 2003 | 1999 | 1995 |
| Armenia | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  |  |
| Australia | 8 | 8 |  | 8 or 9 | 13.9 | 13.9 |  | 14.2 |
| Bahrain | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.1 | 14.1 |  |  |
| Botswana | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.9 | 15.1 |  |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 |  |
| Colombia | 8 |  |  | 8 | 14.5 |  |  | 14.5 |
| Cyprus | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.7 |
| Czech Republic | 8 |  | 8 | 8 | 14.4 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |
| Egypt | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.1 | 14.4 |  |  |
| England | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.0 |
| Ghana | 8 | 8 |  |  | 15.8 | 15.5 |  |  |
| Hong Kong SAR | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Hungary | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 14.3 |
| Indonesia | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.6 |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| Israel | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.1 |  |
| Italy | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 13.9 | 13.9 | 14.0 |  |
| Japan | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.4 |
| Jordan | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.0 |  |
| Korea, Rep. of** | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 14.2 |
| Lebanon | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.4 | 14.6 |  |  |
| Lithuania** | 8 | 8 | 8.5 | 8 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 14.3 |
| Malaysia | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.4 |  |
| Norway | 8 | 8 |  | 8 | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 13.9 |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.0 | 14.1 |  |  |
| Romania | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 14.6 |
| Russian Federation | 7 or 8 | 7 or 8 | 7 or 8 | 7 or 8 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.0 |
| Scotland | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | 13.7 | 13.7 |  | 13.7 |
| Serbia | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  |  |
| Singapore | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.5 |
| Slovenia | 7 or 8 | 7 or 8 |  | 7 | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 13.8 |
| Sweden | 8 | 8 |  | 8 | 14.8 | 14.9 |  | 14.9 |
| Thailand | 8 |  | 8 |  | 14.3 |  | 14.5 |  |
| Tunisia | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 14.5 | 14.8 | 14.8 |  |
| United States | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 8 | 8 |  |  | 14.1 | 14.1 |  |  |
| British Columbia, Canada | 8 |  | 8 |  | 13.9 |  | 13.9 |  |
| Massachusetts, US | 8 |  | 8 |  | 14.2 |  | 14.1 |  |
| Minnesota, US | 8 |  |  | 8 | 14.3 |  |  | 14.3 |
| Ontario, Canada | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.0 |
| Quebec, Canada | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.5 |


| Exhibit A. 8 | Stude | ulati | ontin |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TIMSS2007 } \\ \text { Science } \\ \text { Q'Grade }^{\text {th }} \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country |  | Overal | on Rate |  | Overall Participation Rates <br> (After Replacement) |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 2003 | 1999 | 1995 | 2007 | 2003 | 1999 | 1995 |
| Armenia | 3.3\% | 2.9\% |  |  | 96\% | 89\% |  |  |
| Australia | 1.9\% | 1.3\% |  | 0.8\% | 93\% | 83\% |  | 70\% |
| Bahrain | 1.5\% | 0.0\% |  |  | 97\% | 98\% |  |  |
| Botswana | 0.1\% | 3.0\% |  |  | 99\% | 96\% |  |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 3.3\% | 4.8\% | 1.6\% |  | 99\% | 99\% | 93\% |  |
| Colombia | 1.6\% |  |  | 3.8\% | 98\% |  |  | 86\% |
| Cyprus | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 96\% | 96\% | 97\% | 97\% |
| Czech Republic | 4.6\% |  | 5.2\% | 4.9\% | 95\% |  | 96\% | 92\% |
| Egypt | 0.5\% | 3.4\% |  |  | 98\% | 97\% |  |  |
| England | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 5.0\% | 11.3\% | 75\% | 46\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| Ghana | 0.9\% | 0.9\% |  |  | 98\% | 93\% |  |  |
| Hong Kong SAR | 3.8\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | 75\% | 80\% | 75\% | 81\% |
| Hungary | 3.9\% | 8.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.8\% | 96\% | 94\% | 93\% | 87\% |
| Indonesia | 3.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 97\% | 99\% | 97\% |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 4.4\% | 0.3\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Israel | 22.8\% | 22.5\% | 16.1\% |  | 91\% | 94\% | 94\% |  |
| Italy | 5.0\% | 3.6\% | 6.7\% |  | 96\% | 97\% | 97\% |  |
| Japan | 3.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 91\% | 93\% | 89\% | 90\% |
| Jordan | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 3.0\% |  | 96\% | 96\% | 99\% |  |
| Korea, Rep. of** | 1.6\% | 4.9\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 99\% | 98\% | 100\% | 95\% |
| Lebanon | 1.4\% | 1.4\% |  |  | 85\% | 91\% |  |  |
| Lithuania** | 4.2\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 6.6\% | 90\% | 84\% | 89\% | 83\% |
| Malaysia | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 4.6\% |  | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% |  |
| Norway | 2.6\% | 2.3\% |  | 2.2\% | 86\% | 85\% |  | 93\% |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |  |  | 98\% | 99\% |  |  |
| Romania | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 97\% | 98\% | 97\% | 89\% |
| Russian Federation | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 1.7\% | 6.3\% | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% | 95\% |
| Scotland | 1.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.2\% | 77\% | 76\% |  | 73\% |
| Serbia | 6.8\% | 2.9\% |  |  | 98\% | 96\% |  |  |
| Singapore | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.6\% | 95\% | 97\% | 98\% | 95\% |
| Slovenia | 1.9\% | 1.4\% |  | 2.6\% | 92\% | 91\% |  | 77\% |
| Sweden | 3.6\% | 2.8\% |  | 0.9\% | 94\% | 87\% |  | 90\% |
| Thailand | 3.4\% |  | 3.3\% |  | 99\% |  | 99\% |  |
| Tunisia | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.1\% |  | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% |  |
| United States | 7.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.1\% | 77\% | 73\% | 85\% | 78\% |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 4.2\% | 5.8\% |  |  | 98\% | 98\% |  |  |
| British Columbia, Canada | 17.7\% |  | 3.6\% |  | 94\% |  | 93\% |  |
| Massachusetts, US | 8.4\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 92\% |  | 93\% |  |
| Minnesota, US | 7.5\% |  |  | - | 93\% |  |  | - |
| Ontario, Canada | 6.2\% | 6.0\% | 5.1\% | - | 89\% | 89\% | 93\% | 90\% |
| Quebec, Canada | 13.6\% | 4.8\% | 1.3\% | - | 77\% | 85\% | 92\% | 89\% |

In general, the exclusion rates do not exceed the TIMSS 2007 guidelines of 5 percent, and have not changed very much across assessments for most countries. Also, in most cases, the exclusion rates have decreased. However, the student exclusion rate was higher in 2007 than in previous assessments at eighth grade in Serbia, the United States, and the Canadian provinces of British Columbia and Quebec. For each assessment year in Exhibit 1.3 containing the trend results, exclusion rates over 5 percent were documented with footnote 2 and over 10 percent with footnote 3. At the fourth grade, those with a variation from assessment to assessment, included the United States, the state of Minnesota, and the provinces of Alberta and Quebec with a footnote 2 for 2007; the Russian Federation, Hungary, and Iran with a footnote 2 for 2003; England with a footnote 3 for 1995; Scotland with a footnote 2 for 1995; and the province of Ontario with a footnote 2 for 1995 and 2007. At the eighth grade, the United States and Serbia have a footnote 2 for 2007, Hungary and Iran have a footnote 2 for 2003, Italy a footnote 2 for 1999, the Russian Federation and Lithuania a footnote 2 for 1995, and England a footnote 3 for 1995. Among the benchmarking participants, the provinces of Quebec and British Columbia have a footnote 3 for 2007, the states of Massachusetts and Minnesota a footnote 2 for 2007, the province of Ontario a footnote 2 for 2003 and 2007, and the Basque Country in Spain a footnote 2 for 2003.

## Translation and Layout Verification

Participants were given detailed guidelines for translating the TIMSS 2007 instruments developed in English into their target language(s) and adapting them to be appropriate for their cultural contexts. They also were urged to work with an experienced translator who would be well suited to the task of working with the TIMSS materials. Because the goal was to create a set of instruments comparable to the originals in terms of difficulty and accessibility, the instruments were subjected to a stringent international translation verification process. Each participant was asked to submit the following materials for verification prior to both the field test and main
data collection: items and directions; questionnaires for students, teachers, and schools; manuals; and scoring guides for constructed-response items, where necessary. Verifiers documented their suggestions, and the NRCs were responsible for reviewing the suggestions and revising the instruments. The verified instruments were used to generate the booklets and questionnaires in their final form and these were submitted to the TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center for international layout verification. Participants who tested in English also were required to go through the verification steps. Although they had not translated the instruments, the materials were reviewed for national adaptations and comparable layout. Further information is provided in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

## Survey Operations for Data Collection

Designing the survey operations for data collection was a collaborative effort between the TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center, the IEA Secretariat, the IEA Data Processing and Research Center, and Statistics Canada. Data collection involved contacting schools and sampling classes, preparing materials for data collection, administering the assessment, conducting quality control, scoring the assessment, and creating the data files. Detailed information is provided in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report. However, in brief, guidelines for each of these activities were described in an international set of materials, software, and manuals provided to each NRC, for example, manuals for the school coordinator, the test administrators, and the national quality control observers. The school coordinator was responsible for coordinating the testing, including arranging for test administrators, receiving the testing materials, and returning the completed materials to the national center. Within the schools, the assessment was conducted by the Test Administrator for each class, which involved distributing materials to the appropriate students, following the script for the administration, and timing the sessions accurately. During the test administrations, 10 percent of the schools were visited by an International Quality Control Monitor hired by the IEA Secretariat, and trained to verify the quality of
the materials and adherence to the test administration procedures in each country. Additionally, countries were asked to conduct their own quality control procedures in another 10 percent of sampled schools, based on the international program.

## Scoring the Constructed-Response Items

Because more than half of the score points on the assessment came from constructed-response items, TIMSS 2007 had to develop procedures for reliably evaluating student responses within and across countries. To ensure reliable scoring procedures based on the TIMSS scoring rubrics, the TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center prepared detailed guides containing the rubrics and explanations of how to implement them, together with example student responses for the various rubric categories. These guides, along with training packets containing extensive examples of student responses for practice in applying the rubrics, were used as a basis for intensive training in scoring the constructed-response items. The training sessions were designed to help representatives of national centers, who would then be responsible for training personnel in their own countries to apply the scoring rubrics reliably.

To gather and document empirical information about the withincountry agreement among scorers, PIRLS arranged to have systematic subsamples of at least 200 students' responses to each item scored independently by two readers. Scoring reliability within countries was high - the percentage of exact agreement for score points, on average, across countries, was 96 percent at both grades. Country-by-country results are provided in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

While the double scoring of a sample of the student test booklets provided a measure of the consistency with which the constructed-response questions were scored within each country, TIMSS also took steps to ensure that those constructed-response items from the 2003 assessment that were used in 2007 as part of the trend measurement were scored in the same way in both assessments. In anticipation of this, countries that participated
in TIMSS 2003 sent samples of scored student booklets from their 2003 assessment to the IEA Data Processing and Research Center, where they were digitally scanned and incorporated into custom-built presentation software for use in 2007. On average, the software contained about 8,000 student responses for each country. After being trained in using the scoring rubrics for these items, scorers scored half of the student responses, using the scoring software supplied by the DPC. The software then reported on their scoring accuracy for these student responses. Scorers with less than 85 percent exact agreement with the scores assigned to the responses in 2003 were retrained before proceeding. There was a high degree of scoring consistency across assessments, with 93 percent exact agreement, on average internationally, at fourth grade and 94 percent at eighth grade between the scores awarded in 2003 and those given by the 2007 scorers. Detailed results for the trend countries are presented in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

To monitor the consistency with which the scoring rubrics were applied across countries, TIMSS 2007 collected from the countries that administered TIMSS in English a sample of 4,600 student responses to 23 constructedresponse science items from across the assessment at the fourth grade and a sample of 4,000 responses to 20 items at the eighth grade. The set of fourth grade student responses was then sent to each TIMSS participant at the fourth grade that had scorers proficient in English, and all responses in the set were scored independently by two of these scorers. Similarly, the set of eighth grade student responses was sent to eighth grade participants to be independently scored by two English-proficient scorers. Agreement across countries was defined in terms of the percentage of these comparisons that were in exact agreement and was generally high-91 percent at fourth grade and 83 percent at eighth grade. Details may be found in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

## Test Reliability

As an indication of the reliability of the measurement of student achievement, TIMSS calculated a test reliability coefficient for each country. This coefficient is the median KR-20 reliability across the 14 test booklets. Reliabilities were generally high-o.8 to 0.9 in most countries. The median of the reliability coefficients across all countries was 0.80 at fourth grade 0.84 and at eighth grade. Details may be found in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

## Scaling the Achievement Data

The primary approach to reporting the TIMSS 2007 achievement data was based on item response theory (IRT) scaling methods. ${ }^{6}$ Student mathematics and science achievement was summarized using 2- and 3-parameter IRT models for dichotomously-scored items (right or wrong), and generalized partial credit models for constructed response items with two available score points. ${ }^{7}$ The IRT scaling method produces a score by averaging the responses of each student to the items that he or she took in a way that takes into account the difficulty and discriminating power of each item. The methodology used in TIMSS included refinements enabling reliable scores to be produced even though individual students responded to just one assessment booklet (each booklet contained about one-seventh of the TIMSS achievement items).

To allow more accurate estimation of summary statistics for student subpopulations, the TIMSS scaling made use of plausible-value technology: whereby five separate estimates of each student's score were generated on each scale, based on the student's responses to the items in the student's booklet, and on the student's background characteristics. The five score estimates are known as "plausible values," and the variability between them encapsulates the uncertainty inherent in the score estimation process. The IRT analysis provides a common scale on which performance can be compared across countries. In addition to providing a basis for estimating mean achievement, scale scores permit estimates of how students within countries vary and provide information on percentiles of performance.

Overall science achievement scales were produced at both fourth and eighth grades, as were separate scales for each content domain (life science, physical science, and earth science at fourth grade and biology, chemistry, physics, and earth science at eighth grade) and each cognitive domain (knowing, applying, and reasoning at each grade level).

In order to measure trends in science achievement across assessments, the TIMSS overall science achievement scales were designed to provide reliable measures on a common scale spanning 1995, 1999, 2003, and 2007. The metric of the scales was established originally with the 1995 assessment. Treating all countries participating in TIMSS 1995 at each grade level equally, the TIMSS scale average across those countries was set to 500, and the standard deviation was set at 100 . The average and standard deviation of the scale scores are arbitrary and do not affect scale interpretation. Since the countries varied in size, each country was weighted to contribute equally to the mean and standard deviation of the scale. To preserve the metric of the original 1995 scale for use with the 1999 data, the 1999 eighth grade assessment was scaled using students from countries that participated in both 1995 and 1999. All science items from 1995 and 1999 were included in this scaling, including about one-third of the items that were used in both assessments and formed the foundation for linking the 1995 and 1999 assessment data. When the link had been established, students from countries that participated in 1999 but not in 1995 were assigned scores on the TIMSS scale.

At the eighth grade, TIMSS developed the 2003 scale in the same way as in 1999, preserving the metric first with students from countries that participated in both 1999 and 2003, and then assigning scores on the basis of the scale to students tested in 2003 but not the earlier assessment. Because the 1995 student data had already been linked to the 1995 data, it was not necessary to include the 1995 data in the 1999-2003 calibration. At fourth grade, because there was no assessment in 1999, the 2003 and 1995 data were linked directly together using students from countries that participated in both assessments, and the students tested in 2003 but not 1995 were assigned scores on the basis of the scale. For TIMSS 2007, the same
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general procedure was followed at both grades, linking the data first for countries that participated in both 2003 and 2007, and then assigning scores on the basis of the scale to students tested in 2007 but not 2003 . Because the TIMSS booklet design changed from 2003 to 2007, TIMSS conducted a bridge study in countries that participated at both years, which involved administering some of the 2003 student booklets to a sub-sample of the 2007 student sample. To account for any effect introduced by the booklet design change, the data collected in the bridging study were included in the 2003-2007 linking analysis. More information is provided in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

To facilitate comparisons of countries' relative performance in the content domains (for example, do students perform relatively better in biology than physics?) and in the cognitive domains (for example, do students perform relatively better on applying items than on reasoning items?) TIMSS 2007 placed student achievement in each of the content and cognitive domains on the same scale by aligning its achievement distribution with the achievement distribution of the overall science scale at each grade level. As a result, each content and cognitive scale had the same mean and standard deviation as the overall science scale, eliminating statistically any existing differences in the difficulty of the items on the scales in the interest of making relative comparisons.

To give an indication of the difficulty of the TIMSS science items at the fourth and eighth grades, Exhibit A. 9 presents, for each TIMSS participant, the percentage of students responding correctly to each item, averaged across the items for each content and cognitive scale, as well as across science overall. At the fourth grade, the average percent correct in each of the content domains, life science (49\%), physical science (49\%), and earth science ( $47 \%$ ), was similar to the average percent correct overall (49\%). Among cognitive domains, however, students performed better, on average, on items in the knowing domain (54\%) and less well on the applying (46\%) and reasoning ( $42 \%$ ) domains. The fourth grade science items were particularly difficult for Yemen, where the average percent correct across all items was just 16 percent. Because of concerns about the reliability of domain scales
based on such low-achieving students, results on the science content and cognitive scales were not reported for Yemen.

At the eighth grade, performance in the content domains-biology (41\%), chemistry ( $39 \%$ ), physics ( $38 \%$ ), and earth science ( $40 \%$ ) -also was similar to overall science performance (40\%), and there also were differences among cognitive domains. As at fourth grade, students had highest performance ( $47 \%$ correct, on average) on the knowing domain items and lower performance on the items in the applying (37\%) and reasoning (32\%) domains. Students in Ghana and Qatar had particular difficulty with the science reasoning items, with an average of just 11 and 12 percent correct, respectively. Because of concerns about reliability, results on the science reasoning scale were not reported for Ghana and Qatar.


| hibit A. 9 Average Percent Correct in the Science Content and Cognitive Domains (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  | TIMSS2007 $0^{\text {th }}$ Science $\square$ OGrade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Average Percent Correct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Science | Science Content Domains |  |  |  | Science Cognitive Domains |  |  |
|  |  | Biology | Chemistry | Physics | Earth Science | Knowing | Applying | Reasoning |
| Algeria | 27 (0.2) | 28 (0.3) | 28 (0.3) | 25 (0.3) | 27 (0.4) | 36 (0.3) | 24 (0.2) | 20 (0.3) |
| Armenia | 44 (1.1) | 45 (1.2) | 42 (1.1) | 44 (1.1) | 40 (1.2) | 52 (1.0) | 42 (1.1) | 31 (1.5) |
| Australia | 48 (0.8) | 50 (0.9) | 44 (0.8) | 44 (0.7) | 51 (0.8) | 52 (0.7) | 44 (0.8) | 46 (0.9) |
| Bahrain | 40 (0.3) | 41 (0.3) | 39 (0.4) | 37 (0.4) | 39 (0.4) | 48 (0.3) | 36 (0.4) | 31 (0.4) |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 39 (0.6) | 40 (0.6) | 39 (0.6) | 36 (0.7) | 41 (0.7) | 50 (0.7) | 35 (0.6) | 28 (0.5) |
| Botswana | 24 (0.3) | 24 (0.3) | 24 (0.3) | 24 (0.3) | 22 (0.3) | 32 (0.3) | 20 (0.3) | 15 (0.3) |
| Bulgaria | 42 (1.1) | 42 (1.1) | 41 (1.2) | 39 (1.0) | 43 (1.1) | 52 (1.1) | 38 (1.1) | 29 (1.1) |
| Chinese Taipei | 59 (0.7) | 59 (0.8) | 63 (0.9) | 56 (0.8) | 57 (0.7) | 66 (0.7) | 56 (0.8) | 50 (0.9) |
| Colombia | 30 (0.5) | 33 (0.6) | 28 (0.5) | 27 (0.5) | 28 (0.8) | 38 (0.7) | 26 (0.5) | 22 (0.5) |
| Cyprus | 36 (0.3) | 36 (0.4) | 36 (0.4) | 36 (0.4) | 37 (0.4) | 43 (0.4) | 33 (0.3) | 30 (0.4) |
| Czech Republic | 53 (0.4) | 54 (0.4) | 52 (0.5) | 51 (0.5) | 55 (0.6) | 59 (0.5) | 51 (0.5) | 47 (0.6) |
| Egypt | 31 (0.5) | 31 (0.5) | 32 (0.5) | 30 (0.5) | 32 (0.6) | 43 (0.6) | 26 (0.5) | 20 (0.4) |
| El Salvador | 25 (0.4) | 26 (0.5) | 22 (0.4) | 24 (0.4) | 26 (0.5) | 34 (0.5) | 21 (0.4) | 15 (0.4) |
| England | 54 (0.9) | 56 (1.0) | 53 (1.0) | 53 (0.9) | 53 (1.1) | $59(1.0)$ | 51 (0.9) | 51 (1.1) |
| Georgia | 32 (0.7) | 33 (0.7) | 32 (1.0) | 30 (0.8) | 30 (0.8) | 43 (1.0) | 27 (0.7) | 19 (0.7) |
| Ghana | 20 (0.5) | 19 (0.5) | 23 (0.6) | 20 (0.4) | 17 (0.4) | $30(0.6)$ | 15 (0.4) | 11 (0.4) |
| Hong Kong SAR | 52 (1.0) | 53 (1.0) | 49 (1.1) | 50 (1.0) | 53 (1.1) | 59 (0.9) | 46 (1.0) | 47 (1.3) |
| Hungary | 53 (0.6) | 54 (0.6) | 52 (0.8) | 52 (0.6) | 54 (0.7) | 57 (0.5) | 53 (0.7) | 45 (0.8) |
| Indonesia | 32 (0.5) | 33 (0.6) | 28 (0.5) | 31 (0.5) | 33 (0.7) | 39 (0.6) | 28 (0.5) | 24 (0.6) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 37 (0.7) | 36 (0.7) | 36 (0.7) | 38 (0.7) | 40 (0.8) | 46 (0.7) | 33 (0.7) | 29 (0.8) |
| Israel | 40 (0.8) | 41 (0.8) | 39 (0.9) | 38 (0.8) | $39(0.8)$ | $45(0.8)$ | 37 (0.8) | 35 (0.9) |
| Italy | 44 (0.6) | 47 (0.6) | $39(0.6)$ | 41 (0.6) | 47 (0.7) | 51 (0.6) | 41 (0.6) | 36 (0.6) |
| Japan | 57 (0.4) | 58 (0.4) | 55 (0.5) | 57 (0.5) | 53 (0.5) | 60 (0.4) | 53 (0.5) | 54 (0.5) |
| Jordan | 44 (0.8) | 43 (0.8) | 45 (0.9) | 41 (0.7) | 44 (0.9) | $52(0.8)$ | 40 (0.8) | $32(0.8)$ |
| Korea, Rep. of | 57 (0.4) | 59 (0.4) | 52 (0.5) | 60 (0.5) | 55 (0.6) | 62 (0.4) | 54 (0.5) | 54 (0.5) |
| Kuwait | 32 (0.4) | 31 (0.4) | 32 (0.5) | 33 (0.4) | 28 (0.5) | 40 (0.4) | 28 (0.4) | 21 (0.4) |
| Lebanon | 31 (0.9) | 30 (0.9) | 36 (1.1) | 31 (0.9) | 27 (0.9) | 38 (0.9) | 28 (0.9) | 23 (1.0) |
| Lithuania | 49 (0.5) | 54 (0.6) | 46 (0.6) | 44 (0.6) | 50 (0.6) | 55 (0.5) | 45 (0.5) | 45 (0.7) |
| Malaysia | 40 (1.1) | 40 (1.2) | 39 (1.1) | 41 (1.1) | 38 (1.1) | 45 (1.0) | 37 (1.2) | 34 (1.1) |
| Malta | 38 (0.2) | 38 (0.3) | 38 (0.3) | 38 (0.3) | 39 (0.3) | 43 (0.3) | 36 (0.2) | 34 (0.3) |
| Norway | 42 (0.5) | 43 (0.5) | 39 (0.5) | 38 (0.5) | 47 (0.5) | 49 (0.4) | 38 (0.5) | 35 (0.6) |
| Oman | 33 (0.5) | 31 (0.5) | 32 (0.5) | 34 (0.5) | 34 (0.6) | 41 (0.5) | 29 (0.5) | 24 (0.5) |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 32 (0.5) | 30 (0.6) | 32 (0.5) | 31 (0.6) | 30 (0.6) | $39(0.6)$ | 28 (0.5) | 21 (0.5) |
| Qatar | 23 (0.1) | 21 (0.2) | 23 (0.2) | 24 (0.2) | 20 (0.2) | 32 (0.2) | 19 (0.2) | 12 (0.2) |
| Romania | 38 (0.6) | 38 (0.7) | 38 (0.8) | 36 (0.7) | 39 (0.8) | 44 (0.7) | 36 (0.6) | 30 (0.8) |
| Russian Federation | 52 (0.9) | 53 (0.9) | 53 (1.0) | 47 (0.8) | 52 (0.9) | 60 (1.0) | 48 (0.8) | 43 (1.0) |
| Saudi Arabia | 29 (0.3) | 29 (0.4) | 26 (0.4) | 27 (0.3) | 29 (0.5) | 38 (0.3) | 25 (0.4) | 18 (0.3) |
| Scotland | 44 (0.7) | 45 (0.7) | 43 (0.7) | 42 (0.7) | 45 (0.8) | 49 (0.7) | 40 (0.6) | 40 (0.9) |
| Serbia | 40 (0.6) | 43 (0.6) | 39 (0.6) | 37 (0.6) | 40 (0.7) | 50 (0.6) | 36 (0.6) | 29 (0.6) |
| Singapore | 60 (0.9) | 60 (1.0) | 58 (1.0) | 61 (0.9) | 57 (1.0) | 64 (0.9) | 57 (1.0) | 55 (1.0) |
| Slovenia | 53 (0.4) | 53 (0.5) | 55 (0.5) | 48 (0.5) | 56 (0.6) | 59 (0.4) | 50 (0.5) | 48 (0.6) |
| Sweden | 47 (0.5) | 49 (0.6) | 44 (0.6) | 44 (0.5) | 49 (0.6) | 53 (0.5) | 43 (0.6) | 43 (0.6) |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 36 (0.5) | 39 (0.6) | 35 (0.5) | 33 (0.5) | 34 (0.5) | 48 (0.5) | 31 (0.5) | 24 (0.5) |
| Thailand | 39 (0.9) | 42 (0.9) | 36 (0.9) | 35 (0.8) | 42 (1.0) | 47 (0.9) | 36 (0.9) | 31 (0.9) |
| Tunisia | 34 (0.3) | 36 (0.4) | 34 (0.4) | 30 (0.4) | 31 (0.4) | 41 (0.4) | 30 (0.4) | 27 (0.5) |
| Turkey | 37 (0.7) | 39 (0.7) | 34 (0.8) | 34 (0.7) | 38 (0.7) | 45 (0.7) | 32 (0.7) | 30 (0.7) |
| Ukraine | 42 (0.7) | 42 (0.7) | 43 (0.7) | 42 (0.7) | 43 (0.8) | 49 (0.7) | 39 (0.7) | 36 (0.8) |
| United States | 49 (0.6) | 53 (0.6) | 46 (0.7) | 43 (0.6) | 52 (0.7) | 55 (0.6) | 45 (0.6) | 45 (0.8) |
| $\ddagger$ Morocco | 27 (0.4) | 27 (0.4) | 29 (0.4) | 27 (0.5) | 26 (0.5) | 35 (0.4) | 23 (0.4) | 21 (0.5) |
| International Avg. | 40 (0.1) | 41 (0.1) | 39 (0.1) | 38 (0.1) | 40 (0.1) | 47 (0.1) | 37 (0.1) | $32(0.1)$ |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 45 (0.6) | 46 (0.7) | 38 (0.7) | 42 (0.7) | 50 (0.8) | 50 (0.6) | 42 (0.6) | 37 (0.9) |
| British Columbia, Canada | 50 (0.6) | 54 (0.7) | 44 (0.6) | 45 (0.5) | 53 (0.7) | 55 (0.6) | 46 (0.6) | 47 (0.8) |
| Dubai, UAE | 44 (0.5) | 44 (0.6) | 44 (0.6) | 42 (0.6) | 45 (0.7) | 52 (0.6) | 41 (0.6) | 35 (0.7) |
| Massachusetts, US | 57 (0.9) | 60 (1.1) | 54 (1.1) | 50 (0.8) | 61 (1.1) | 61 (0.9) | 53 (1.0) | 55 (1.1) |
| Minnesota, US | 53 (1.1) | 58 (1.2) | 47 (1.2) | 45 (1.1) | 57 (1.4) | 58 (1.1) | 49 (1.1) | 50 (1.2) |
| Ontario, Canada | 50 (0.8) | 54 (0.8) | 43 (0.8) | 46 (0.9) | 53 (0.9) | 54 (0.7) | 46 (0.9) | 49 (1.0) |
| Quebec, Canada | 46 (0.6) | 48 (0.7) | 42 (0.7) | 41 (0.7) | 49 (0.8) | 51 (0.6) | 41 (0.7) | 44 (0.8) |

[^4]
## Scale Anchoring Analysis

For the scale anchoring analysis, the students' achievement results from all the participating countries were pooled, so that the benchmark descriptions refer to all students achieving at that level. Thus, in determining performance in relation to the benchmarks, it does not matter what country a student is from, only how he or she performed on the test. Considering students' science achievement scores, criteria were applied to identify the sets of items that students reaching each international benchmark were likely to answer correctly and that those at the next lower benchmark were unlikely to answer correctly.

For example, a multiple-choice item anchored at the Advanced International Benchmark if at least 65 percent of students scoring at 625 answered the item correctly and fewer than 50 percent of students scoring at the High International Benchmark (550) answered correctly. Similarly, a multiple-choice item anchored at the High International Benchmark if at least 65 percent of students scoring at 550 answered the item correctly and fewer than 50 percent of students scoring at the Intermediate International Benchmark (475) answered it correctly. A multiple-choice item anchored at the Intermediate International Benchmark if at least 65 percent of students scoring at 475 answered correctly and fewer than 50 percent of students scoring at the Low Benchmark (400) answered it correctly. A multiplechoice item anchored at the Low Benchmark if at least 65 percent of students scoring at 400 answered correctly. Since constructed-response questions nearly eliminate guessing, the criterion for the constructed-response items was simply 50 percent at the particular benchmark. Also, the analysis was conducted based on the percentage of students receiving full credit.

The sets of items identified by the scale anchoring analysis represented the accomplishments of students reaching each successively higher benchmark, and were used by the TIMSS 2007 Science and Mathematics Item Review Committee (SMIRC) and the TIMSS 2007 Mathematics and Science Coordinators to develop the benchmark descriptions. For each benchmark, the work of the panelists involved developing a short description
for each anchor item that characterized the content knowledge and skills demonstrated by students answering it successfully. These item-by-item descriptions were then summarized by the SMIRC members to provide the more general statements of achievement at each of the benchmarks. The item-by-item descriptions and further details about the analysis can be found in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.

The descriptions of achievement at the benchmarks are based solely on student performance on the TIMSS 2007 items and do not purport to be comprehensive. There are undoubtedly other curriculum elements on which students at the various benchmarks would have been successful if they had been included in the assessment. Also, some students scoring below a benchmark may indeed know or understand some of the concepts that characterize a high level. Finally, describing science concepts or familiarity with procedures was more straightforward than describing the cognitive behavior necessary to answer the item correctly. An item may require only simple recall for a student familiar with the item's content, but necessitate problem-solving strategies from a student unfamiliar with the material. The descriptions are based on what the panelists believed to be the way the great majority of students at the fourth or eighth grade could be expected to respond to the item.

## Estimating Standard Errors

Because the statistics presented in this report are estimates of national performance based on samples of students - rather than on the values that could be calculated if every student in every country had answered every question - it is important to have measures for the degree of uncertainty of the estimates. The jackknife procedure was used to estimate the standard error associated with each statistic presented in this report. ${ }^{8}$ As well as sampling error, the jackknife standard errors also include an error component due to variation between the five plausible values generated for each student. The use of confidence intervals (based on the standard errors) provides a way to make inferences about the population means and proportions in a manner that reflects the uncertainty associated with the sample estimates. An estimated sample statistic plus or minus two standard errors represents a 95 percent confidence interval for the corresponding population result.

8 Procedures for computing jackknifed standard errors are presented in the scaling chapter by Foy, Galia, \& Li in the TIMSS 2007 Technical Report.


[^0]:    1 Each content domain had several topic areas (e.g., "life science" at fourth grade was further categorized by characteristics and life processes of living things; life cycles, reproduction, and heredity; interaction with the environment; ecosystems; and human health). Each topic area was presented as a list of objectives covered in many participating countries, at either fourth grade or eighth grade as appropriate. For the complete framework for the TIMSS 2007 science assessment, see Mullis, I.V.S., Martin, M.O., Ruddock, G.J., O'Sullivan, C.Y., Arora, A., \& Erberber. E. (2005). TIMSS 2007 assessment frameworks. Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.

[^1]:    In scoring the tests, correct answers to most items were worth one point. However, responses to some constructed-response items were evaluated for partial credit with a fully correct answer awarded two points. Thus, the number of score points exceeds

[^2]:    3 See Joncas, M. (2008). TIMSS sampling design. In J.F. Olson, M.O. Martin, \& I.V.S. Mullis (Eds.), TIMSS 2007 technical report. Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.
    4 For further detail, see Joncas, M. (2008). TIMSS 2007 sampling weights and participation rates. In J.F. Olson, M.O. Martin, \& I.V.S. Mullis (Eds.), TIMSS 2007 technical report. Chestnut Hill, MA: TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center, Boston College.
    5 In cases where students were not given parental permission to participate, they were absent and included as such in Exhibits A. 6 and A.7.

[^3]:    * Represents years of schooling counting from the first year of ISCED Level 1.

[^4]:    $\ddagger$ Did not satisfy guidelines for sample participation rates (see Exhibit A.7).
    () Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

