## Chapter 1

## International Student Achievement in Mathematics

Chapter 1 contains the TIMSS 2007 achievement results for fourth and eighth grade students in mathematics for each of the participating countries and benchmarking entities. It also presents trends in mathematics achievement over time for participants in previous TIMSS assessments in 1995, 1999, and 2003. Achievement differences by gender at both grades are also described.

## How Do Countries Differ in Mathematics Achievement?

Exhibit 1.1 shows the distribution of student achievement for the participants in TIMSS 2007, including the average (mean) scale score with its 95 percent confidence interval and the ranges in performance for the middle half of the students (25th to 75th percentiles) as well as the extremes (5th and 95th percentiles). The first page of Exhibit 1.1 presents the distribution for the achievement for the 36 countries and 7 benchmarking participants at the fourth grade and the second page presents the distribution of student achievement for the 49 countries and 7 benchmarking participants at the eighth grade. ${ }^{1}$ For each grade in Exhibit 1.1, countries are shown in decreasing order of average (mean) scale score (with the exception of Morocco at the eighth grade ${ }^{2}$ ) followed by the benchmarking participants also ordered from highest to lowest average achievement. The benchmarking participants followed the same procedures and met the same standards as the countries, the difference being that they are regional entities (in some cases parts of

[^0]countries shown above). Because there often are relatively small differences between participants in average achievement, Exhibit 1.2 shows whether or not the differences in average achievement are statistically significant.

TIMSS used item response theory (IRT) methods to summarize the achievement for each grade on a scale with a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of $100 .{ }^{3}$ The TIMSS mathematics scales for the fourth and eighth grades were established based on the 1995 assessments and the methodology enables comparable trend measures from assessment to assessment within each grade. It should be noted that the results for the fourth and eighth grades are not directly comparable. While the scales for the two grades are expressed in the same numerical units, they are not directly comparable in terms of being able to say how much achievement or learning at one grade equals how much achievement or learning at the other grade. That is, achievement on the TIMSS scales cannot be described in absolute terms (like all such scales developed using IRT technology). Comparisons can only be made in terms of relative performance (higher or lower), for example, among countries and population groups as well as between assessments.

In Exhibit 1.1, there is a symbol by a participant's average scale score indicating if the average achievement is significantly higher (up arrow) or lower (down arrow) than the scale average of 500. It should be noted that the scale average referenced in Exhibit 1.1 is different from the international average referenced in previous TIMSS reports. The TIMSS scale metric for the fourth grade and for the eighth grade was established in 1995 by setting the average of the mean scores of the countries that participated in TIMSS 1995 to 500 and the standard deviation to 100 . To enable comparisons across TIMSS assessments, with each subsequent assessment the data from 1999, 2003, and 2007 also were placed on this metric so that scores are equivalent from assessment to assessment. Thus, the scale average has remained at 500 with each cycle of TIMSS and provides a fixed point of comparison through time. That is, a score of 500 in eighth or fourth grade mathematics in 2007 is equivalent to a score of 500 in eighth or fourth grade mathematics, respectively, in 2003, in 1999 (eighth grade only), and in 1995.

3 Given the matrix-sampling approach, the scaling process averages students' responses in a way that accounts for differences in the difficulty of different subsets of items. It allows students' performance to be summarized on a common metric even though individual students responded to different items in the mathematics test. For further information, see the "IRT Scaling and Data Analysis" section of Appendix A.

In contrast, the international average, obtained by averaging across the mean scores for each of the participating countries, needs to be recomputed for each new cycle based on the set of participating countries and has changed from cycle to cycle, becoming lower with each assessment, particularly at the eighth grade, depending on the set of countries taking part. ${ }^{4}$ Using a point of reference that can change substantially from cycle to cycle depending on which countries participate creates the possibility for misinterpretations, particularly if countries gauge their progress in terms of how far they are above or below this point. For example, in 2003 using the international average may have given the erroneous impression that some countries at the eighth grade had improved, when actually it was only that the international average had become lower. Thus, to avoid misinterpretations based on movement of the international average between cycles, TIMSS 2007 adopted the fixed average approach by using the scale average as the point of reference, and this approach will be used for all future cycles of TIMSS (i.e., in 2011, 2016, and so on). It can be noted that the same approach is used in PIRLS. In PIRLS 2001, the average of the mean scale scores of the countries was set to 500 (the scale average) and the standard deviation to 100 , and the fixed reference point approach (scale average instead of international average) was adopted for use from then on.

Similar to earlier TIMSS assessments, Asian countries top Exhibit 1.1 at both the fourth and eighth grades. At the fourth grade, Hong Kong SAR and Singapore were the top performing countries. Using Exhibit 1.2 to help interpret the typically small differences in achievement among countries, these two countries performed similarly and had higher achievement than all of the other countries. They were followed by Chinese Taipei, that had higher achievement than all countries except Hong Kong SAR and Singapore, and, in turn, by Japan that had higher achievement than all of the remaining countries. Kazakhstan, the Russian Federation, England, Latvia, and the Netherlands also performed very well. These five countries performed similarly-not as well as the top four Asian countries, but with higher achievement than the other remaining countries participating
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* Represents years of schooling counting from the first year of ISCED Level 1.
** Taken from United Nations Development Programme's Human Development Report 2007/2008, p.229-232, except for Chinese Taipei taken from Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistics, Executive Yuan, R.O.C. Statistical Yearbook 2007. Data for England and Scotland are for the United Kingdom.
$\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
$\ddagger$ Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
1 National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS (see Appendix A).

2 National Defined Population covers $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of National Target Population (see Appendix A).

- Kuwait and Dubai, UAE tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 2007, at the beginning of the next school year.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.
A dash (-) indicates comparable data are not available.
Note: See Exhibit D. 1 for percentiles of achievement in mathematics.

Exhibit 1.1 TIMSS 2007 Distribution of Mathematics Achievement (Continued)
TIMSS2007 $8^{\text {th }}$
Mathematics 6 Grade


Represents years of schooling counting from the first year of ISCED Level 1
** Taken from United Nations Development Programme's Human Development Report 2007/2008, p.229-232, except for Chinese Taipei taken from Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistics, Executive Yuan, R.O.C. Statistical Yearbook 2007 and for Serbia taken from Human Development Analyses of Serbia 2007. Data for England and Scotland are for the United Kingdom.
$\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
$\ddagger \quad$ Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
末 Did not satisfy guidelines for sample participation rates (see Appendix A).

National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS (see Appendix A).
National Defined Population covers $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of National Target Population (see Appendix A).
3 National Defined Population covers less than $90 \%$ of National Target Population (but at least 77\%, see Appendix A).

* Kuwait and Dubai, UAE tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 2007, at the beginning of the next school year.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.
A dash (-) indicates comparable data are not available.
Note: See Exhibit D. 1 for percentiles of achievement in mathematics.

Exhibit 1.2 TIMSS 2007 Multiple Comparisons of Average Mathematics Achievement

Instructions: Read across the row for a country to compare performance with the countries listed along the top of the chart. The symbols indicate whether the average achievement of the country in the row is significantly lower than that of the comparison country, significantly higher than that of the comparison country, or if there is no statistically significant difference between the average achievement of the two countries.


Hong Kong SAR
Singapore
Chinese Taipei
Japan
Kazakhstan
England
Latvia
Netherlands
Lithuania
Germany
Denmark
Australia
Hungary
Italy
Austria
Sweden
Slovenia
Armenia
Scotland
New Zealand
Czech Republic
Norway
Georgia
Iran, Islamic Rep. of
Algeria
Colombia
El Salvador
Tunisia
Kuwait
Qatar
Yemen
Benchmarking Participants

| Massachusetts, US |
| :--- |
| Minnesota, US |
| Quebec, Canada |
| Ontario, Canada |
| Alberta, Canada |
| British Columbia, Canada |
| Dubai, UAE |
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Exhibit 1.2 TIMSS 2007 Multiple Comparisons of Average
TIMSS2007 $4^{\text {th }}$ Mathematics Achievement (Continued)

Instructions: Read across the row for a country to compare performance with the countries listed along the top of the chart. The symbols indicate whether the average achievement of the country in the row is significantly lower than that of the comparison country, significantly higher than that of the comparison country, or if there is no statistically significant difference between the average achievement of the two countries.


Exhibit 1.2 TIMSS 2007 Multiple Comparisons of Average Mathematics Achievement (Continued)
Instructions: Read across the row for a country to compare performance with the countries listed along the top of the chart. The symbols indicate whether the average achievement of the country in the row is significantly lower than that of the comparison country, significantly higher than that of the comparison country, or if there is no statistically significant difference between the average achievement of the two countries.


Instructions: Read across the row for a country to compare performance with the countries listed along the top of the chart. The symbols indicate whether the average achievement of the country in the row is significantly lower than that of the comparison country, significantly higher than that of the comparison country, or if there is no statistically significant difference between the average achievement of the two countries.


[^2]at the fourth grade. Among the benchmarking participants, the state of Massachusetts in the United States performed similarly to Chinese Taipei, and the state of Minnesota similarly to Kazakhstan, the Russian Federation, and England.

At the fourth grade, top-performing Hong Kong SAR and Singapore had averages approximately 100 points above the 500 scale average ( 607 and 599, respectively), and the other countries described above (Chinese Taipei, Japan, Kazakhstan, the Russian Federation, England, Latvia, and the Netherlands) also performed above the scale average. In addition, eight more countries had average achievement higher than the scale average of 500, including Lithuania, the United States, Germany, Denmark, Australia, Hungary, Italy, and Austria. In addition to the benchmarking states of Massachusetts and Minnesota, two Canadian provinces, Quebec and Ontario, also performed above the scale average.

At the eighth grade, Exhibit 1.1 shows five Asian countries with the highest average achievement in mathematics. Using the information in Exhibit 1.2, Chinese Taipei, Korea, and Singapore had the highest average achievement, performing similarly and having substantially higher achievement than all the remaining countries (averages nearly 100 points above the scale average). These three countries were followed by Hong Kong SAR and Japan also performing similarly and having higher achievement than all the other countries except the top three performers.

It can be seen that there is a substantial gap in average achievement between the five Asian countries and the next group of four similarly performing countries including Hungary, England, the Russian Federation, and the United States-a 53-point difference between Japan (570) and Hungary (517). However, this group of four countries all had average achievement above the scale average (Exhibit 1.1). Next, although Lithuania and the Czech Republic performed similarly (506 and 504, respectively), as shown in Exhibit 1.1, achievement in Lithuania was above the scale average whereas achievement in the Czech Republic was not significantly different statistically from the scale average (500). At the eighth grade,
among the benchmarking participants, the two U.S. states, Massachusetts and Minnesota, and the three Canadian provinces, Quebec, Ontario, and British Columbia, performed above the scale average. The two U.S. states and the province of Quebec were outperformed by the five Asian countries, but had higher average achievement than the group of four countries including Hungary, England, the Russian Federation, and United States. The provinces of Ontario and British Columbia had average achievement similar to that group of four countries.

At the fourth grade, looking at the other end of the achievement continuum in Exhibit 1.2, beginning with Algeria (378) each country typically had higher average achievement than the next lower performing country, in turn, through Colombia (355), Morocco (341), El Salvador (330) and Tunisia (327), Kuwait (316), Qatar (296), and Yemen (224). At the eighth grade, there was a similar pattern beginning with Oman (372) having higher achievement than the Palestinian National Authority (367) and Botswana (364), and then Kuwait (354), El Salvador (340), Saudi Arabia (329), and concluding with Ghana (309) and Qatar (307).

At both grades, TIMSS 2007 involved countries from around the world and from a wide variety of circumstances. It might then be anticipated that the results would reveal substantial differences in average mathematics achievement between the highest- and lowest-performing countries and this proved to be the case ( 607 in Hong Kong SAR compared with 224 in Yemen at fourth grade and 598 in Chinese Taipei compared with 307 in Qatar at eighth grade). The percentiles shown in Exhibit 1.1 also show, however, the wide range of achievement within countries. The difference between the 95th and 5 th percentiles within countries is often approximately 300 scale points, which is similar to the difference across countries.

TIMSS devoted considerable energy to maximizing comparability across the grades and ages tested, but this is difficult considering the variation internationally in many educational policies, primarily school entry ages and policies concerning retention and promotion from grade to grade. For the most part, TIMSS participants are to assess students in the fourth year
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of schooling and the eighth year of schooling. However, to avoid testing very young children, the guidelines also specify that the average age of the students tested should not be below 9.5 years old for the fourth grade or 13.5 years old for the eighth grade. Thus, countries where students start school at a very young age must assess students at the next higher grade in accordance with the TIMSS guidelines.

Exhibit 1.1 includes the years of formal schooling and average age at time of testing of the students in each country. Every country tested the correct year of schooling in accordance with the TIMSS guidelines, which was the fourth grade and the eighth grade in most countries and why, for the matter of convenience in this report, the students will be referred to as fourth grade students or eighth grade students. It should be noted that five countries (England, Scotland, New Zealand, Malta, and Bosnia and Herzegovina) tested students in their fifth and/or ninth year of schooling in accordance with TIMSS guidelines, because their students start school at a very early age and otherwise would have been very young. Also, both the Russian Federation and Slovenia have been undergoing structural reforms requiring students to start school at a younger age so that students at the fourth and eighth grades would be the same age as students previously were in the third and seventh grades, but having had an additional year of schooling. To monitor this change, these two countries assessed students in the third and seventh years of schooling in previous assessments. The transition has been completed at the fourth grade, but not at the eighth grade where some of the students assessed in these two countries were in the seventh year of schooling.

Given that students typically are in their fourth or eighth year of schooling and the majority begins school at age 6 (see Appendix A), they are expected to be approximately 10 or 14 years old, on average, respectively. This was the case in most countries including the five countries testing students in their fifth and/or ninth years of schooling. In some countries, however, students do not start school until age 7 and, consequently, are expected to be approximately 11 or 15 years old, on average, respectively. Considering
the cultural and economic diversity of the TIMSS countries as well as variation in age of entry to school and retention policies, students with the same amount of schooling are of different ages. ${ }^{5}$ The interaction among these various factors and achievement is complicated, differing country by country. For example, the TIMSS data show the countries performing above the scale average ranging in students' average age from 9.8 to 11.0 years old at the fourth grade and from 14.2 to 14.9 years at the eighth grade. Students in countries performing below the scale average also range in average age, from 9.7 to 11.2 years at the fourth grade and from 13.7 to 15.8 years at the eighth grade.

To provide some context about the economic and educational development of the TIMSS participants, Exhibit 1.1 also includes each one's value on the Human Development Index provided by the United Nations Development Programme. The index has a minimum value of o.o and a maximum of 1.0. Countries with high values on the index have a long life expectancy, high levels of school enrollment and adult literacy, and a good standard of living, as measured by per capita Gross Domestic Product. Nearly all the TIMSS participants had index values in the 0.7 to 0.9 range except Botswana and Morocco (0.6) and Ghana and Yemen (0.5). At both grades, the countries performing above the 500 scale average had index values in the o. 8 to 0.9 range (the lowest is Kazakhstan ( 0.794 ) at the fourth grade) and those countries with values below 0.8 typically had average achievement below 500. However, not all countries with average achievement below the scale average had low index values. The countries with average achievement significantly below 500 included 6 with index values 0.8 or higher at the fourth grade and 17 at the eighth grade.

## How Has Mathematics Achievement Changed Since 1995, 1999, and 2003?

Exhibit 1.3 displays changes in average mathematics achievement for the countries and benchmarking participants that have comparable data from previous TIMSS assessments at the fourth and eighth grades. The participants are shown in descending order of their average TIMSS 2007 achievement.

[^3]© 2007 average significantly higher (v) 2007 average significantly lower


$\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included.
$\ddagger \quad$ Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included.
\# Did not satisfy guidelines for sample participation rates.
1 National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS.
2 National Defined Population covers $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of National Target Population.

National Defined Population covers less than $90 \%$ of National Target Population (but at least 77\%).
Trend notes: Data are not shown for Kuwait, because comparable data from previous cycles are not available. Data for Tunisia do not include private schools.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.


$\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included.
$\ddagger \quad$ Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included.
$\ddagger$ Did not satisfy guidelines for sample participation rates.
1 National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS.
2 National Defined Population covers $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of National Target Population.

3 National Defined Population covers less than $90 \%$ of National Target Population (but at least 77\%).
"- Kuwait and Dubai, UAE tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 2007, at the beginning of the next school year.
Trend notes: Data are not shown for Kuwait, Morocco, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey, because comparable data from previous cycles are not available. Data for Indonesia do not include Islamic schools.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Exhibit 1.3 } & \text { Trends in Mathematics Achievement - } \mathbf{1 9 9 5} \text { Through } 2007 \text { (Continued) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { TIMSS2007 } \\ \text { Mathematics }\end{array} \text { 8Grade }_{\text {th }}^{\text {th }}\end{array}$




At the fourth grade, 23 countries and 4 benchmarking participants have data from 1995 and 2003 or from either 1995 or 2003 that can be compared to 2007. There was no fourth grade assessment in TIMSS 1999. Thus, participants at the fourth grade have data from two or three points in time. At the eighth grade, 36 countries and 6 benchmarking participants have data from at least one previous assessment that can be compared with 2007, with 26 countries and 2 benchmarking participants having comparable data from three or all four TIMSS assessments-1995, 1999, 2003, and 2007.

It is interesting to consider the TIMSS 2007 achievement results in light of the information countries provided in the TIMSS 2007 Encyclopedia. For example, the trend results illustrate how TIMSS data can be used to monitor the impact of major changes in education systems. Many countries are engaged in implementing important structural, curricular, and instructional reforms. For example, according to ongoing reforms described in the TIMSS 2007 Encyclopedia, improvement in the Russian Federation and Slovenia may have been anticipated. As described previously, these two countries have been undergoing structural changes in their educational system that involved adding one more year of schooling at the primary level, as well as associated curricular and instructional reforms. For trend participants, Exhibit A. 8 in Appendix A documents the years of formal schooling, average ages, percentages of exclusions, and participation rates for each assessment. In general, these have been relatively stable across the participants from assessment to assessment. However, as mentioned, there have been some structural changes in educational systems.

Looking at trends across all of the participating countries, not taking into account whether countries have participated in two, three, or four cycles (eighth grade) of TIMSS, more showed improvement in average achievement between their first cycle of participation and TIMSS 2007 than declines at the fourth grade, but this was not the pattern at the eighth grade. At the fourth grade, 10 countries had higher average achievement in 2007 than in their first TIMSS assessment, 5 had lower average achievement, and 8 showed no significant change. At the eighth grade, 10 countries had higher
average achievement in 2007 than in their initial assessment, 15 lower average achievement, and 11 showed no significant change.

Comparing only across the past 12 years, at the fourth grade, 16 countries have comparison data between 1995 and 2007. Of those, 8 had increased average achievement in 2007 compared to 1995, 4 had similar achievement, and 4 had decreases. At the eighth grade, of the 20 countries with 1995 data, 5 had increased average achievement in 2007, 5 similar achievement, and 10 had decreases. Taking an even closer look at the 12 countries that have trend data between 1995 and 2007 at both grades, the pattern persists with more improvements at the fourth than the eighth grade. Only the Czech Republic and Hungary had lower achievement at the fourth grade, as well as at the eighth grade. Six of these countries had higher achievement at the fourth grade in 2007 than in 1995, with England and the United States also showing improvement at the eighth grade. Two of them had no significant change at the eighth grade (Hong SAR and Slovenia) and two had declines (Australia and Iran). Of the 12 countries, the remaining 4 had equivalent average achievement at the fourth grade between 1995 and 2007, with one also having equivalency at the eighth grade (Scotland) but three having decreases (Japan, Norway, and Singapore). Thus, generally, and even in the same countries, between 1995 and 2007 there has been a tendency toward more improvement than declines at the fourth grade accompanied by less improvement or even declines at the eighth grade.

There was more consistency between the fourth and eighth grades in changes between 2003 and 2007. Looking across countries with trend data between 2003 and 2007, average achievement at the fourth grade either increased ( 9 countries) or stayed the same ( 10 countries) in most countries, with only 2 countries having decreases. At the eighth grade one-third of the countries (11) showed improvements, one-third (12) stayed the same, and onethird (10) showed declines. Among the 17 countries that participated in both grades, there was considerable consistency between grades. Ten changed in the same direction at both grades between 2003 and 2007: 5 with increases, 4 with essentially no changes, and 1 with a decrease. Five countries had more
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positive trends at the fourth than the eighth grade ( 2 with increases at fourth grade and stable performance at eighth grade, 2 with stability at fourth grade and decreases at eighth grade, and 1 with an increase at fourth grade and a decrease at eighth grade). Tunisia, however, had the reverse, with a decrease at the fourth grade accompanied by an improvement at the eighth grade.

At the fourth grade, 8 countries and 2 benchmarking participants showed higher average mathematics achievement in 2007 than in 1995. Three of these countries-Hong Kong SAR, England, and Slovenia—had significant improvement from 1995 together with significant improvement from 2003 to 2007 suggesting a sustained improvement over the 12-year period from 1995 to 2007. For the United States, Australia, and Iran, the improvement in 2007 compared to 1995 largely reflects recent gains between 2003 and 2007. Latvia, New Zealand, and the province of Ontario also had higher average achievement in 2007 than 1995, but not between the two most recent assessments, indicating that the gains were essentially between 1995 and 2003. The state of Minnesota showed significant gains between 1995 and 2007, but has no data for intervening assessments. Norway appears to have recovered from an early decline, such that significant improvement between 2003 and 2007 resulted in essentially no change from 1995. In the province of Quebec, the recent gains did not equal the earlier declines so that achievement in 2007 is still below that of 1995. Chinese Taipei and Armenia showed increased average achievement between 2003 and 2007, the two assessments they participated in.

At the fourth grade, 4 countries and the province of Alberta (in addition to the province of Quebec described above) had lower average mathematics achievement in 2007 than in 1995. Of these, Austria, the Czech Republic, and the province of Alberta have previous data only from 1995. In Hungary, the decrease reflects a recent decline between 2003 and 2007 that overshadowed an upward shift between 1995 and 2003, whereas the Netherlands has shown a relatively steady decline from assessment to assessment. Tunisia participated in 2003 and 2007 and declined between the two assessments. In Singapore, Japan, and Scotland, average mathematics achievement has remained
essentially the same since 1995. The Russian Federation, Lithuania, Italy, and Morocco do not have comparable data from 1995, but average mathematics achievement did not change significantly between 2003 and 2007.

At the eighth grade, 5 countries and the province of Ontario had higher average mathematics achievement in 2007 than in 1995. Korea, England, the United States and Lithuania participated in all four assessments without having any significant declines between assessments, showing generally upward progress over the 12-year period. Average achievement increased in Colombia between 1995 and 2007, but it did not participate in the intervening assessments. After no change between 1995 and 2003, Slovenia improved between 2003 and 2007. Chinese Taipei participated in the three most recent assessments, showing improvement between 1999 and 2007, although the improvement largely reflects recent gains between 2003 and 2007. The state of Massachusetts improved between its two assessments in 1999 and 2007. Armenia, Serbia, Lebanon, Ghana, and the Basque Country of Spain showed improvement between 2003 and 2007, the two assessments they participated in.

Average mathematics achievement at the eighth grade remained relatively constant across assessments in Italy, Jordan, Indonesia, Bahrain, Botswana, the state of Minnesota and the province of British Columbia. Also, several countries participating at the eighth grade have had compensating increases and decreases in average mathematics achievement from assessment to assessment. For example, Cyprus had higher achievement in 2007 than 2003 essentially recovering from a previous decline and returning back to the 1995 level of achievement. After an initial increase, Hong Kong SAR had lower average achievement in 2007 than 2003 so that achievement is essentially the same as in 1995. The Russian Federation had lower average achievement in 2007 than in 1999-the high point for the four assessments, but achievement was not significantly different from 1995. Israel had a decrease between 2003 and 2007 equivalent to the previous increase between 1999 and 2003, bringing achievement back to the 1999 level.
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At the eighth grade, 10 countries and the province of Quebec had lower average mathematics achievement in 2007 than in 1995. The Czech Republic, Australia, Sweden, and Bulgaria have had declines of various magnitudes from assessment to assessment. In Iran and Quebec the decreases have occurred since 1999, while in Singapore, Hungary, and Romania the decreases primarily were more recent between 2003 and 2007. Not all countries with declines between 1995 and 2007 showed declines between 2003 and 2007. For example, Japan showed no change between 2003 and 2007 perhaps stemming the earlier downward trend and Norway had higher average achievement in 2007 than 2003 (but not enough to recover from its previous decline). Malaysia has had successively lower average achievement with each assessment since 1999. Tunisia declined between 1999 and 2003, but has increased since then, although not back to the level it was at in 1999. In the Palestinian National Authority and Egypt, average achievement declined between its two assessments in 2003 and 2007.

## Trends Across Grades: Fourth to Eighth Grade Cohort Analysis

Because TIMSS is conducted on a four-year cycle, the cohort of students that was assessed in the fourth grade in 2003 had reached the eighth grade by 2007, and thus was assessed as the eighth grade in 2007. This enables the 17 countries and 2 benchmarking participants that assessed both grades in both assessments to examine how their performance relative to each other changed as the fourth grade students of 2003 became the eighth grade students of 2007 . The results are presented in Exhibit 1.4, which shows average mathematics achievement as a difference from the TIMSS scale average (500) for the fourth grade students in 2003 (upper-left panel) and in 2007 (top-right panel). The exhibit shows also achievement for the eighth grade students in 2003 (bottom-left panel) and in 2007 (bottom-right panel). The trends for fourth and eighth grade, however, were presented more fully in Exhibit 1.3. The purpose of Exhibit 1.4 is to provide information about relative progress across grades as the cohort of students assessed at the fourth grade in 2003 moved to the eighth grade four years later in 2007. That is, to compare relative performance at the fourth grade in 2003 (upper-left panel)

Exhibit 1.4 Cohort Comparison: 2003 Fourth Grade Students in Eighth Grade in 2007
TIMSS2007 4th $8^{\text {th }}$
Mathematics Grade

| 2003 - Fourth Grade |  |  | 2007 - Fourth Grade |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Difference <br> TIMSS Scal |  | Country | Difference TIMSS Scale |  |
| Singapore | 94 (5.6) | 0 | Hong Kong SAR | 107 (3.6) | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 75 (3.2) | 0 | Singapore | 99 (3.7) | 0 |
| Japan | 65 (1.6) | 0 | Chinese Taipei | 76 (1.7) | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 64 (1.8) | 0 | Japan | 68 (2.1) | 0 |
| Lithuania | 34 (2.8) | 0 | Russian Federation | 44 (4.9) | 0 |
| Russian Federation | 32 (4.7) | 0 | England | 41 (2.9) | 0 |
| England | 31 (3.7) | 0 | Lithuania | 30 (2.4) | 0 |
| Hungary | 29 (3.1) | 0 | United States | 29 (2.4) | 0 |
| United States | 18 (2.4) | 0 | Australia | 16 (3.5) | 0 |
| Italy | 3 (3.7) |  | Hungary | 10 (3.5) | 0 |
| Australia | -1 (3.9) |  | Italy | 7 (3.1) | 0 |
| Scotland | -10 (3.3) | (1) | Slovenia | 2 (1.8) |  |
| Slovenia | -21 (2.6) | ( ) | Armenia | 0 (4.3) |  |
| Armenia | -44 (3.5) | (1) | Scotland | -6 (2.2) | (1) |
| Norway | -49 (2.3) | (1) | Norway | -27 (2.5) | (1) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | -111 (4.2) | (1) | Iran, Islamic Rep. of | -98 (4.1) | (1) |
| Tunisia | -161 (4.7) | (1) | Tunisia | -173 (4.5) | (1) |
| TIMSS Scale Avg. | 500 |  | TIMSS Scale Avg. | 500 |  |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  | Benchmarking Participants |  |  |
| Ontario, Canada | 11 (3.8) | 0 | Quebec, Canada | 19 (3.0) | 0 |
| Quebec, Canada | 6 (2.4) | 0 | Ontario, Canada | 12 (3.1) | 0 |


| 2003 - Eighth Grade |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Country | Difference From <br> TIMSS Scale Avg. |
| Singapore | $105(3.6)$ |


| 2007 - Eighth Grade |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Country |  |
|  | Difference From <br> TIMSS Scale Avg. |
| Chinese Taipei | $98(4.5)$ |

- Country average significantly higher than TIMSS scale average
(7) Country average significantly lower than TIMSS scale average
to relative performance at the eighth grade in 2007 (lower-right panel) as indicated by the arrow pointing diagonally downward.

Nine countries, including Singapore, Hong Kong SAR, Japan, Chinese Taipei, Lithuania, the Russian Federation, England, Hungary, and the United States as well as the two Canadian provinces of Ontario and Quebec performed above the scale average at the fourth grade in 2003 and again at the eighth grade in 2007 (although not in the same order of average achievement). Australia had achievement similar to the scale average in both 2003 and 2007. Scotland, Norway, Iran, and Tunisia also retained the same relative positions, performing below the scale average in the fourth grade in 2003 and again at the eighth grade in 2007. In comparison, Slovenia and Armenia moved from being below the scale average at the fourth grade in 2003 to having achievement similar to the scale average at the eighth grade in 2007. Italy had achievement at the fourth grade similar to the scale average in 2003, but below it at the eighth grade in 2007.

## What Are the Gender Differences in Mathematics Achievement?

Exhibit 1.5 shows gender differences in fourth- and eighth-grade mathematics achievement in 2007. It presents average achievement separately for girls and boys for the TIMSS 2007 countries and benchmarking participants, as well as the difference between the averages. The difference between the average achievement for girls and for boys is shown by a bar indicating the amount of the difference, whether the direction of the difference was positive for girls or boys, and whether the difference is statistically significant (indicated by a darkened bar). Countries are shown in increasing order of this difference in average achievement between girls and boys. International averages also are shown. These were obtained by averaging across the mean scores for girls in each of the countries and the mean scores for boys in each of the countries. Benchmarking participants were not included in the calculation on the international averages.

At the fourth grade, there was no difference in average achievement between boys and girls across the participating countries, on average,
although the situation varied from country to country. In approximately half the countries, the difference in average achievement in mathematics between girls and boys was negligible at the fourth grade. Girls had higher average mathematics achievement than boys in 8 countries, including Singapore, the Russian Federation, Kazakhstan, Armenia, Tunisia, Yemen, Qatar, and Kuwait. Boys had higher average achievement than girls in 12 countries, including Slovenia, the United States, the Czech Republic, Sweden, the Slovak Republic, Norway, Scotland, Netherlands, Germany, Austria, Italy, and Colombia. Among the benchmarking participants, boys had higher achievement than girls in three Canadian provinces, British Columbia, Quebec, and Alberta, and in the U.S. state of Massachusetts.

At the eighth grade, on average across the TIMSS 2007 countries, girls had higher average achievement than boys. Girls had higher achievement than boys in 16 of the participating countries, including Lithuania, Malaysia, Egypt, Bulgaria, Singapore, Botswana, Romania, Cyprus, Jordan, Kuwait, Saudi Arabia, Thailand, Bahrain, the Palestinian National Authority, Qatar, and Oman. Girls had higher average achievement than boys in many, but not all, of the countries in the Middle East. Boys had higher achievement than girls in 8 countries, including Algeria, Lebanon, Australia, the Syrian Arab Republic, El Salvador, Tunisia, Ghana, and Colombia, as well as in 2 Canadian provinces, British Columbia and Ontario.

Exhibit 1.6 shows changes in average achievement separately for boys and girls. At the fourth grade, changes are shown between 2003 and 2007 and between 1995 and 2007 (fourth grade was not assessed in 1999). Across the TIMSS participants, fourth grade girls showed improvement in 8 countries compared to 1995. In five of these countries, there also was improvement from 2003 to 2007, including Australia, England, Hong Kong SAR, Slovenia, and the United States. Also, girls in Armenia, Chinese Taipei, Norway, and the Russian Federation had higher average mathematics achievement in 2007 than in 2003. Girls had decreased average achievement across the 12-year period in Austria and the Czech Republic. In the Netherlands, fourth grade
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Exhibit 1.5 TIMSS 2007 Average Mathematics Achievement by Gender
TIMSS2007 $\boldsymbol{4}^{\text {th }}$ Mathematics Grade

| Country | Girls |  | Boys |  | Difference (Absolute Value) | Gender Difference |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of Students | Average Scale Score | Percent of Students | Average Scale Score |  | Girls <br> Scored Higher |  | Boys Scored Higher |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Lithuania | 49 (1.0) | 530 (2.8) | 51 (1.0) | 530 (3.2) | 0 (3.6) |  |  |  |  |
| England | 49 (1.0) | 541 (3.2) | 51 (1.0) | 542 (3.6) | 0 (3.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Ukraine | 48 (0.9) | 469 (3.3) | 52 (0.9) | 469 (3.4) | 0 (3.4) |  |  |  |  |
| Japan | 49 (0.6) | 568 (2.5) | 51 (0.6) | 568 (2.7) | 0 (3.1) |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand | 50 (1.0) | 492 (2.4) | 50 (1.0) | 493 (3.1) | 1 (3.0) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 48 (0.5) | 575 (2.0) | 52 (0.5) | 577 (2.0) | 2 (2.1) |  | I |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Latvia | 48 (1.0) | 539 (2.9) | 52 (1.0) | 536 (3.0) | 3 (3.7) |  | , |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Georgia | 47 (1.0) | 440 (4.2) | 53 (1.0) | 437 (4.9) | 3 (3.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Morocco | 49 (1.1) | 339 (5.0) | 51 (1.1) | 343 (5.4) | 3 (4.6) |  | - |  |  |
| Hungary | 51 (1.1) | 508 (4.6) | 49 (1.1) | 511 (3.8) | 3 (4.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Hong Kong SAR | 49 (1.1) | 605 (3.2) | 51 (1.1) | 609 (4.4) | 4 (2.9) |  | - |  |  |
| Algeria | 50 (0.9) | 380 (5.9) | 50 (0.9) | 375 (5.2) | 5 (3.8) |  | - |  |  |
| Slovenia | 49 (0.8) | 499 (2.4) | 51 (0.8) | 504 (2.1) | 5 (2.6) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Australia | 51 (1.0) | 513 (4.2) | 49 (1.0) | 519 (3.6) | 6 (3.4) |  | - |  |  |
| 2 † United States | 51 (0.6) | 526 (2.7) | 49 (0.6) | 532 (2.7) | 6 (2.4) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Czech Republic | 47 (1.1) | 483 (3.3) | 53 (1.1) | 489 (3.0) | 6 (2.8) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Singapore | 49 (0.8) | 603 (3.8) | 51 (0.8) | 596 (4.1) | 6 (2.7) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Sweden | 50 (1.0) | 499 (2.4) | 50 (1.0) | 506 (3.1) | 6 (2.4) |  | - |  |  |
| Slovak Republic | 49 (0.8) | 493 (4.6) | 51 (0.8) | 499 (4.7) | 6 (2.7) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| † Denmark | 51 (1.2) | 520 (2.9) | 49 (1.2) | 526 (3.2) | 7 (3.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 50 (1.0) | 470 (3.2) | 50 (1.0) | 477 (3.0) | 7 (3.6) |  | - |  |  |
| Russian Federation | 50 (1.0) | 548 (5.5) | 50 (1.0) | 540 (4.9) | 7 (3.6) |  | ■ |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Kazakhstan | 51 (1.3) | 553 (6.7) | 49 (1.3) | 545 (7.9) | 8 (3.7) |  | ■ |  |  |
| Armenia | 48 (0.9) | 504 (5.7) | 52 (0.9) | 495 (3.7) | 9 (4.1) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| + Scotland | 51 (0.8) | 490 (2.6) | 49 (0.8) | 499 (2.8) | 9 (3.1) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| El Salvador | 49 (1.2) | 325 (4.6) | 51 (1.2) | 334 (5.5) | 9 (5.8) |  | - |  |  |
| $\ddagger$ Netherlands | 48 (1.0) | 530 (2.7) | 52 (1.0) | 540 (2.4) | 10 (2.7) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Germany | 49 (0.6) | 519 (2.5) | 51 (0.6) | 531 (2.5) | 12 (2.1) |  |  |  |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 49 (1.7) | 409 (5.2) | 51 (1.7) | 396 (5.5) | 14 (7.0) |  |  |  |  |
| Austria | 48 (1.0) | 498 (2.5) | 52 (1.0) | 512 (2.3) | 14 (2.6) |  |  |  |  |
| Italy | 49 (0.7) | 499 (3.2) | 51 (0.7) | 514 (3.6) | 15 (2.5) |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | 50 (1.1) | 347 (5.2) | 50 (1.1) | 364 (5.5) | 17 (3.9) |  |  |  |  |
| Tunisia | 47 (1.0) | 337 (4.7) | 53 (1.0) | 319 (5.0) | 18 (4.1) |  |  |  |  |
| Yemen | 44 (2.7) | 236 (8.0) | 56 (2.7) | 214 (6.6) | 22 (8.4) |  |  |  |  |
| Qatar | 51 (0.2) | 307 (2.0) | 49 (0.2) | 285 (2.1) | 22 (3.6) |  |  |  |  |
| * Kuwait | 52 (1.5) | 333 (4.3) | 48 (1.5) | 297 (6.2) | 37 (7.6) |  |  |  |  |
| International Avg. | 49 (0.2) | 473 (0.7) | 51 (0.2) | 473 (0.7) | 0 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Ontario, Canada | 48 (1.1) | 509 (3.2) | 52 (1.1) | 514 (3.7) | 6 (3.0) |  | - |  |  |
| 2 † Minnesota, US | 50 (1.5) | 551 (6.1) | 50 (1.5) | 557 (6.3) | 6 (4.1) |  | - |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ British Columbia, Canada | 49 (0.7) | 502 (3.1) | 51 (0.7) | 508 (3.0) | 6 (2.7) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Quebec, Canada | 51 (0.9) | 515 (3.5) | 49 (0.9) | 524 (3.3) | 9 (3.1) |  | - |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Massachusetts, US | 51 (1.0) | 567 (3.7) | 49 (1.0) | 578 (4.2) | 10 (3.6) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Alberta, Canada | 48 (1.1) | 500 (3.2) | 52 (1.1) | 510 (3.2) | 11 (2.5) |  | - |  |  |
| - $\ddagger$ Dubai, UAE | 47 (4.7) | 452 (4.0) | 53 (4.7) | 438 (4.9) | 14 (8.1) |  | $\square$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 1 40 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Difference statistically significant Difference not statistically significant |  |  |  |

$\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
$\ddagger$ Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A).
1 National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS (see Appendix A).

2 National Defined Population covers $90 \%$ to $95 \%$ of National Target Population (see Appendix A).

- Kuwait and Dubai, UAE tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 2007, at the beginning of the next school year.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.
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Exhibit 1.5 TIMSS 2007 Average Mathematics Achievement by Gender (Continued)
TIMSS2007 $8^{\text {th }}$ Mathematics ©Grade

| Country | Girls |  | Boys |  | Difference <br> (Absolute Value) | Gender Difference |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of Students | Average Scale Score | Percent of Students | Average Scale Score |  | Girls <br> Scored Higher |  |  | Boys Scored Higher |  |
| Malta | 51 (0.3) | 488 (1.5) | 49 (0.3) | 488 (1.7) | 0 (2.2) |  |  |  |  | \# |
| Turkey | 47 (0.8) | 432 (5.3) | 53 (0.8) | 432 (5.0) | 1 (3.9) |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| Hungary | 50 (1.1) | 517 (4.1) | 50 (1.1) | 517 (3.7) | 1 (3.6) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 48 (1.3) | 599 (4.6) | 52 (1.3) | 598 (5.3) | 1 (4.2) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 49 (0.8) | 456 (3.1) | 51 (0.8) | 455 (2.8) | 1 (2.5) |  |  |  |  | cour |
| Slovenia | 50 (0.8) | 500 (2.7) | 50 (0.8) | 503 (2.6) | 2 (3.2) |  |  | 1 |  | \% |
| Czech Republic | 48 (0.8) | 505 (2.5) | 52 (0.8) | 503 (2.8) | 2 (2.4) |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| ${ }^{3}$ Israel | 53 (1.6) | 465 (4.6) | 47 (1.6) | 462 (4.9) | 3 (5.4) |  |  |  |  | $\sum$ |
| + Scotland | 51 (1.0) | 486 (3.8) | 49 (1.0) | 489 (4.4) | 3 (3.5) |  |  |  |  | 机 |
| 2 † United States | 51 (0.7) | 507 (3.0) | 49 (0.7) | 510 (3.1) | 4 (2.2) |  |  | - |  | O |
| Sweden | 48 (0.9) | 493 (2.6) | 52 (0.9) | 490 (2.5) | 4 (2.5) |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Norway | 49 (0.7) | 471 (2.1) | 51 (0.7) | 467 (2.6) | 4 (2.5) |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Indonesia | 51 (1.0) | 399 (4.1) | 49 (1.0) | 395 (4.4) | 4 (4.0) |  |  |  |  | n |
| Korea, Rep. of | 48 (2.7) | 595 (3.3) | 52 (2.7) | 599 (3.1) | 4 (3.4) |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{y}{4}$ |
| Armenia | 50 (0.9) | 501 (4.4) | 50 (0.9) | 497 (3.5) | 4 (3.7) |  |  |  |  | 誌 |
| Japan | 50 (1.0) | 568 (3.2) | 50 (1.0) | 572 (3.2) | 4 (4.3) |  |  | , |  | ن |
| ${ }^{1}$ Georgia | 50 (1.0) | 412 (5.9) | 50 (1.0) | 408 (6.7) | 4 (4.3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russian Federation | 52 (0.9) | 514 (4.3) | 48 (0.9) | 509 (4.7) | 5 (3.7) |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Ukraine | 52 (0.8) | 465 (3.9) | 48 (0.8) | 459 (3.9) | 5 (2.9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria | 49 (0.6) | 384 (2.4) | 51 (0.6) | 389 (2.2) | 5 (1.8) |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| $\dagger$ England | 51 (1.9) | 511 (5.0) | 49 (1.9) | 516 (6.1) | 6 (5.7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy | 48 (0.7) | 477 (3.3) | 52 (0.7) | 483 (3.5) | 6 (3.2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Serbia | 49 (0.7) | 489 (3.6) | 51 (0.7) | 483 (4.0) | 6 (3.9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Lithuania | 50 (1.1) | 509 (3.0) | 50 (1.1) | 502 (2.3) | 7 (2.6) |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 46 (1.5) | 407 (5.3) | 54 (1.5) | 400 (6.1) | 7 (8.1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malaysia | 53 (1.5) | 479 (5.6) | 47 (1.5) | 468 (5.3) | 11 (4.4) |  |  | - |  |  |
| † Hong Kong SAR | 50 (1.3) | 578 (5.0) | 50 (1.3) | 567 (8.0) | 11 (6.7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt | 49 (2.7) | 397 (5.0) | 51 (2.7) | 384 (4.6) | 13 (6.4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lebanon | 54 (1.8) | 443 (4.1) | 46 (1.8) | 456 (4.7) | 13 (3.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria | 50 (1.2) | 471 (4.6) | 50 (1.2) | 456 (6.3) | 15 (5.0) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singapore | 49 (0.9) | 600 (4.1) | 51 (0.9) | 586 (4.6) | 15 (4.4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia | 48 (1.9) | 488 (5.5) | 52 (1.9) | 504 (5.4) | 15 (7.7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Botswana | 53 (0.8) | 371 (2.4) | 47 (0.8) | 355 (3.2) | 15 (3.3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 52 (1.9) | 387 (4.3) | 48 (1.9) | 403 (5.1) | 16 (5.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Romania | 49 (0.9) | 470 (4.2) | 51 (0.9) | 452 (4.6) | 18 (3.3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyprus | 50 (0.6) | 476 (2.2) | 50 (0.6) | 455 (2.4) | 20 (3.2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jordan | 48 (2.0) | 438 (6.4) | 52 (2.0) | 417 (5.6) | 20 (8.8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| El Salvador | 52 (1.4) | 331 (3.8) | 48 (1.4) | 351 (3.6) | 21 (4.9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tunisia | 52 (0.8) | 410 (2.8) | 48 (0.8) | 431 (2.7) | 21 (2.4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ghana | 45 (0.8) | 297 (5.0) | 55 (0.8) | 319 (4.4) | 22 (3.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Kuwait | 54 (2.1) | 364 (2.7) | 46 (2.1) | 342 (4.0) | 22 (4.8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saudi Arabia | 48 (1.6) | 341 (3.6) | 52 (1.6) | 319 (4.0) | 23 (5.0) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thailand | 50 (1.3) | 453 (5.3) | 50 (1.3) | 430 (5.5) | 23 (4.7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | 51 (1.6) | 364 (4.2) | 49 (1.6) | 396 (4.1) | 32 (4.3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bahrain | 49 (0.4) | 414 (2.2) | 51 (0.4) | 382 (2.6) | 32 (3.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Palestinian Nat'l Auth. | 51 (1.4) | 385 (4.2) | 49 (1.4) | 349 (5.4) | 36 (6.5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qatar | 50 (0.2) | 325 (2.1) | 50 (0.2) | 288 (2.0) | 38 (2.9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oman | 52 (2.0) | 399 (3.6) | 48 (2.0) | 344 (5.0) | 54 (5.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Morocco | 53 (1.3) | 377 (3.7) | 47 (1.3) | 385 (3.9) | 9 (4.8) |  |  | - |  |  |
| International Avg. | 50 (0.2) | 453 (0.7) | 50 (0.2) | 448 (0.7) | 5 (0.6) |  |  | ■ |  |  |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ \# Dubai, UAE | 49 (4.8) | 461 (5.2) | 51 (4.8) | 461 (5.9) | 0 (10.1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Quebec, Canada | 49 (1.5) | 527 (3.5) | 51 (1.5) | 529 (4.6) | 2 (4.2) |  |  | , |  |  |
| 2 † Minnesota, US | 52 (1.3) | 531 (4.4) | 48 (1.3) | 535 (5.1) | 4 (3.7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basque Country, Spain | 48 (1.7) | 496 (3.9) | 52 (1.7) | 501 (3.9) | 4 (5.0) |  |  | - |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Massachusetts, US | 50 (1.0) | 544 (4.8) | 50 (1.0) | 550 (5.1) | 5 (3.8) |  |  | - |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ British Columbia, Canada | 51 (1.1) | 507 (3.3) | 49 (1.1) | 512 (3.4) | 6 (2.9) |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Ontario, Canada | 50 (1.1) | 513 (4.1) | 50 (1.1) | 522 (4.0) | 9 (4.1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 40 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 40 | 80 |
| $\dagger$ Met guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacement schools were included (see Appendix A). |  |  |  |  |  | Difference statistically significant <br> Difference not statistically significant |  |  |  |  |

3 National Defined Population covers less than $90 \%$ of National Target Population (but at least $77 \%$, see Appendix A)

- Kuwait and Dubai, UAE tested the same cohort of students as other countries, but later in 2007, at the beginning of the next school year.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent
\# Nearly satisfied guidelines for sample participation rates only after replacemen schools were included (see Appendix A).
$\ddagger$ Did not satisfy guidelines for sample participation rates (see Appendix A).
National Target Population does not include all of the International Target Population defined by TIMSS (see Appendix A).
National Defined Population covers 90\% to 95\% of National Target Population (see Appendix A).
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Exhibit 1.6 Trends in Average Mathematics Achievement by Gender -
TIMSS2007 $4^{\text {th }}$ 1995 Through 2007

Mathematics Grad

| Country | Girls |  |  |  |  | Boys |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 Average Scale Score | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \text { to } 2007 \\ & \text { Difference } \end{aligned}$ |  | $1995 \text { to } 2007$Difference |  | 2007 Average Scale Score | 2003 to 2007 Difference |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \text { to } 2007 \\ & \text { Difference } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Armenia | 504 (5.7) | 42 (6.8) | 0 | $\triangle 0$ |  | 495 (3.7) | 45 (5.3) | 0 | $\checkmark$ - |  |
| Australia | 513 (4.2) | 16 (6.1) | 0 | 20 (5.7) | 0 | 519 (3.6) | 19 (5.6) | 0 | 23 (5.4) | 0 |
| Austria | 498 (2.5) | 00 |  | -27 (4.3) | ( 7 | 512 (2.3) | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | -24 (4.4) | (7) |
| Chinese Taipei | 575 (2.0) | 11 (2.7) | 0 | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | 577 (2.0) | 13 (2.9) | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Czech Republic | 483 (3.3) | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | -54 (4.6) | ( 7 | 489 (3.0) | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | -54 (4.6) | (1) |
| England | 541 (3.2) | 11 (5.0) | 0 | 62 (5.3) | 0 | 542 (3.6) | 9 (5.8) |  | 53 (5.2) | 0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 605 (3.2) | 30 (4.6) | 0 | 47 (5.0) | 0 | 609 (4.4) | 34 (5.6) | 0 | 52 (6.3) | 0 |
| Hungary | 508 (4.6) | -19 (6.0) | - | -11 (6.1) |  | 511 (3.8) | -19 (5.1) | ( ) | -13 (5.5) | (1) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of | 409 (5.2) | 15 (8.4) |  | 30 (7.9) | 0 | 396 (5.5) | 10 (7.8) |  | 2 (9.7) |  |
| Italy | 499 (3.2) | 1 (5.2) |  | -- |  | 514 (3.6) | 7 (5.2) |  | -- |  |
| Japan | 568 (2.5) | 5 (3.1) |  | 5 (3.2) |  | 568 (2.7) | 2 (3.4) |  | -3 (3.6) |  |
| Latvia | 539 (2.9) | 4 (4.3) |  | 33 (5.9) | 0 | 536 (3.0) | 5 (4.9) |  | 43 (6.3) | 0 |
| Lithuania | 530 (2.8) | -5 (4.4) |  | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | 530 (3.2) | -6 (4.5) |  | 00 |  |
| Morocco | 339 (5.0) | -4 (7.9) |  | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | 343 (5.4) | -7 (7.4) |  | 00 |  |
| Netherlands | 530 (2.7) | -8 (3.8) | (1) | -13 (4.2) | ( | 540 (2.4) | -4 (3.2) |  | -17 (4.2) | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ |
| New Zealand | 492 (2.4) | -3 (3.6) |  | 19 (4.9) | 0 | 493 (3.1) | -3 (3.9) |  | 28 (6.9) | 0 |
| Norway | 470 (3.2) | 21 (4.2) | 0 | -4 (5.4) |  | 477 (3.0) | 23 (4.0) | 0 | -1 (4.7) |  |
| Russian Federation | 548 (5.5) | 18 (7.7) | 0 | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |  | 540 (4.9) | 7 (6.8) |  | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |
| Scotland | 490 (2.6) | 5 (4.1) |  | -3 (4.9) |  | 499 (2.8) | 3 (5.2) |  | 6 (5.4) |  |
| Singapore | 603 (3.8) | 4 (6.8) |  | 8 (6.7) |  | 596 (4.1) | 6 (7.4) |  | 10 (6.2) |  |
| Slovenia | 499 (2.4) | 23 (3.8) | 0 | 42 (4.5) | 0 | 504 (2.1) | 23 (4.1) | 0 | 38 (4.1) | 0 |
| Tunisia | 336 (4.8) | -6 (6.9) |  | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | 317 (5.0) | -19 (7.0) | - | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |
| United States | 526 (2.7) | 12 (3.6) | 0 | 10 (4.1) | 0 | 532 (2.7) | 10 (3.9) | 0 | 12 (4.1) | 0 |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | 500 (3.2) | 00 |  | -23 (10.1) | (1) | 510 (3.2) | 00 |  | -13 (8.4) |  |
| Minnesota, US | 551 (6.1) | 00 |  | 34 (10.6) | 0 | 557 (6.3) | 00 |  | 42 (9.9) | 0 |
| Ontario, Canada | 509 (3.2) | 3 (4.8) |  | 22 (4.7) | 0 | 514 (3.7) | -2 (6.0) |  | 24 (5.7) | 0 |
| Quebec, Canada | 515 (3.5) | 12 (4.4) | 0 | -34 (6.7) | ( | 524 (3.3) | 15 (4.4) | 0 | -28 (5.7) | $\checkmark$ |

- 2007 average significantly higher
(7) 2007 average significantly lower
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

A dash (-) indicates comparable data are not available.
A diamond $(\diamond)$ indicates the country did not participate in the assessment.
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girls showed increasing declines in average mathematics achievement across the assessments.

Fourth grade boys often showed increases or decreases in achievement in the same countries as girls, indicating overall trends typically were reflected in similar changes for both sexes. The notable exception to this pattern is in Iran, where girls showed a 30-point increase between 1995 and 2007 compared to essentially no change for boys. Also, between 2003 and 2007 the improvement in the Russian Federation was significant for girls and not for boys, whereas in the decline in Tunisia was significant for boys and not for girls.

Among the benchmarking participants at fourth grade, the decrease in average achievement in the Canadian province of Alberta between 1995 and 2007 was significant for girls and not for boys. In the U.S. state of Minnesota, both girls and boys had higher achievement in 2007 than in 1995. This also was the trend in the Canadian province of Ontario, although achievement was unchanged recently between 2003 and 2007. In Quebec, both sexes had higher average achievement in 2007 than in 2003, but these improvements did not equal previous declines still resulting in lower achievement over the 12-year period for both girls and boys.

At the eighth grade, looking at the changes by gender between 1995 and 2007, girls had increases in average achievement in 7 countries and declines in 6 countries. The increases were in Colombia, England, Hong Kong SAR, Korea, Lithuania, Slovenia, and the United States. The improvements were similar for boys in these countries, except in Hong Kong SAR where boys had decreased average achievement, particularly between 2003 and 2007. The Canadian province of Ontario showed improvement for both boys and girls between 1995 and 2007, and the Canadian province of Quebec had declines for both sexes during the same period.

Among the 6 countries with declines in average achievement for girls at the eighth grade, in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Japan, Norway, and Sweden the boys also had lower average achievement in 2007 than in 1995. In Australia, only the girls had lower achievement in 2007 and not the boys.

However, in Cyprus, Iran, Romania, and Singapore, boys had lower average achievement in 2007 than in 1995. For countries with trends dating only back to 1999 and showing changes by gender, Chinese Taipei had increases for girls but not boys and Malaysia, Thailand, and Tunisia had decreases for both. Among the benchmarking participants, the U.S. state of Massachusetts had increases for both boys and girls and the Canadian province of British Columbia had a decrease for girls. For countries joining TIMSS in 2003 and showing changes in achievement by gender, both boys and girls had higher achievement in 2007 in Armenia, Ghana, and Lebanon, and the boys had lower achievement in Botswana, Egypt, and the Palestinian National Authority. In the Basque Country of Spain, boys had higher achievement in 2007 than in 2003.


[^0]:    1 Because characteristics of their samples and data are not completely known, selected achievement results for Mongolia at the fourth and eighth grades are presented in Appendix E.
    2 Morocco did not meet the school participation rates as specified in the TIMSS guidelines due to a procedural difficulty with some schools, and consequently, its results are shown below a line.

[^1]:    4 In 1995, the scale average for mathematics and the international average were both 500 at the fourth grade and at the eighth grade. In 1999, the scale average remained at 500; however, because different countries participated in 1999 than 1995, the international average at the eighth grade for TIMSS 1999 changed to 487, somewhat lower than the scale average. With yet a larger and different set of countries participating in TIMSS 2003, including some with low average achievement, the international average at grade 8 dropped to 467 . At the fourth grade in 2003, the international average was 495 in mathematics.

[^2]:    - Average achievement significantly higher than comparison country $\geqslant$ Average achievement significantly lower than comparison country

[^3]:    5 Martin, M.O., Mullis, I.V.S., \& Foy, P. (2008). Interrelationships among reading achievement, grade level, and age in PIRLS 2006. In C. Papanastasiou (Ed.), Proceedings of the IEA International Research Conference (IRC): PIRLS volume. Nicosia, Cyprus: Cyprus University Press.

[^4]:    Trend notes: Data are not shown for Kuwait, Morocco, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey, because comparable data from previous cycles are not available. Data for Indonesia do not include Islamic schools.
    () Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number, some totals may appear inconsistent.

[^5]:    A dash (-) indicates comparable data are not available.
    A diamond $( \rangle)$ indicates the country did not participate in the assessment.

